

Genoveva Versuchleitner
Impfstraße 69
A-1010 Impfberg Emahausen
Name, address of the complainant

Place, Date: Peidorf, on 21.09.2024

Public Prosecutor's Office [StA]

Road

A-Zip Code City

Address Public Prosecutor's Office at the competent regional court

Subject: Criminal complaint and criminal charges for the following official and Private indictment offences (LN) in connection with my so-called "gene-based Corona vaccinations", actually "gene therapy injections" [Gth] [PR] – so-called "spiking" [MH]: **§ 2** of the Austrian Criminal Code (ACC) "Committed by omission", **§ 6** of the ACC "Negligence", **§ 83** of the ACC "Criminal Code" injury", **§ 88** of the ACC "Negligent bodily injury", **§ 89** of the ACC "Endangerment of the physical safety", **§ 105** of the ACC "Coercion", **§ 108**, of the ACC "Deception", **§ 110** of the ACC "Unauthorized medical treatment", **§§ 176, 177** of the ACC "Intentional" and/or "negligent" endangerment of the public", as well as all other offences that may be considered.

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

I hereby file a **criminal complaint** against

Name and address of the "vaccinating" person(s), usually "vaccinator"

on suspicion of ("dangerous", "negligent") bodily injury and all other offences that can still be considered, in particular taking into account the criterion of "means dangerous to the public" ("gene therapeutics" [Gth] [PR]), and according to the criminal complaint.

This is based on the following facts:

On the following days

Date/possibly time of administration of the "C vaccines"/gene injection

has me

Name and address of the "vaccinating" person(s), usually "vaccinator"

with the vaccine(s):

PfizerBioNTech, Moderna, AstraZeneca, etc. (enclose a copy of the vaccination certificate

vaccinated.

For me, the "doctor", also the "vaccinator", is an empathetic, reliable, respectful and caring "person of trust". I follow his advice in principle.

The responsible persons of politics and medical associations/chambers were in favor of the "premature" introduction and application of the **"genetic material of cells"**, which is **not** based on **"genotoxicity"** (possible toxicity on the "genetic material of cells"), **"teratogenicity"** (possible toxicity on the "sex cells" and "foetus"), **"mutagenicity"** (possible triggering of structural changes of the chromosomes/genes/DNA) and **"cancer-/oncogenicity"** (possible triggering and promotion of cancer) [Gth] [PR] – **tested, experimental "gene-based and modified mRNA corona injections"** (Directive No. 2009/120/EC). [CI] [OEAEK]

Note: Due to these **"security"** [HSt] and long-term studies **"that have not been carried out"**, the question of a *"statute of limitations for the criminal liability of the perpetrators"* should probably be superfluous. The genetically engineered-synthetically produced and modified foreign mRNA ("new unexplored technology"), which is supposed to code for the spike protein in the ribosomes in the host organism (here: "human"), and the "unfortunately" additional E. coli plasmid and E. coli host DNA impurities (through "production process 2") of the so-called "Corona "vaccination" batches [CI] mean that we cannot know at all what can and will happen in the near and distant future with the "genetically modified C-vaccinated people" [5].

But my "vaccination doctor" would have given me **verbally** and **in time** (one day before!) [AK] in a "fully comprehensive and in-depth" manner, such as: about the "special emergency authorisation" within the framework of a "PHEIC" ("Public Health Emergency of International Concern"), the "shortened/telescopic pre- and clinical test phases", about the "absence of a package insert", the information about the "overall composition of the vaccine drug" (so-called "summary of the characteristics of the medicinal product" [MA] -, this special and urgently approved "gene-based modmRNA injections"), the "possibility of even dying from the consequences of these "vaccine drugs", they are so-called "experimental gene injections", "genetically modified mRNA corona "vaccines" with "genetically modified bacterial E.coli plasmid foreign DNA" and "E.coli host DNA impurities" [1] [CI] etc., and the resulting "increased duty of information and due diligence" (§ 49 AerzteG, §871(2) ABGB).

"Unfortunately" that did not happen. I was simply "lied to and cheated" by the vaccinator. Now I realize with serious shock and uncertainty that there have always been potential risks. If my "vaccination" doctor did not know "these", he should have at least pointed out that the gene-based "Corona vaccine" has not been sufficiently researched [3].

I was **not** informed about these facts and potential risks. Therefore, my "consent to vaccination" is not legally valid, because an **"effective consent"** [AK] (§ 49 AerzteG, §871(2) ABGB) on my part to the gene-based "C-vaccination" could not arise "properly" and "legally effective" due to the "lack of important information in the information" (MA) (no so-called "reasonable and informed decision", no "informed consent") and possible and potentially life-threatening "vaccination risks, side effects and complications" cannot be ruled out with a probability bordering on certainty - so-called "fatal benefit-risk ratio of all gene-based "Corona vaccinations".

The **"principle of effective informed consent"** [AK] - a central ethical principle in medicine - is always applied when medical interventions/actions may be associated with the risk of injury/damage or death. It is based on the **"recognition of the inviolability of the individual human right to AUTONOMY and SELF-DETERMINATION"**. It also includes the **right to refuse a medical act/therapy/vaccination**.

All these findings have apparently been the state of knowledge and discussion among legal experts (jurists) for a long time. As early as September 2021, the book by Attorney Beate Bahner was available, entitled *"Corona Vaccination - What Doctors and Patients Should Definitely Know"* [2].

Since then, every doctor has had access to the information published therein, for example on the medical risks and the legal classification of the gene-based "C-vaccination" for doctors. In the meantime, an article on the subject has also been published in a well-known legal journal. The authors clearly state that every doctor should have provided information before each vaccination: **"I don't know what I'm injecting, what's in there and how it works."** (Attorney Dr. Carlos A. Gebauer and Prof. Dr. Katrin Gierhake [3], *"Medical education in treatments with conditionally approved mRNA vaccine drugs"*. Neue Juristische Wochenschrift 2023, 2231).

If I had been told this at the time in the sense of the required duty of information and due diligence (§ 49 ÄrzteG) [AK], I would certainly **not have** been "vaccinated" - under no circumstances.

The potential risks of the "vaccination" consisted in particular in the following facts, as I have unfortunately learned in the meantime and had to take note of "painfully":

With the "modified gene-based mRNA vaccine" and its "bacterial host DNA and gene-modified E. coli. plasmid foreign DNA impurities", both "packaged" in "lipid nanoparticle protective shells" [MA], a complex "genetic" and "toxic substance" was introduced into my body (actually: "gene therapy") [Gth] [PR], the effect(s) of which is/are not yet fully foreseeable.

I and now more than five billion earthlings have now "unintentionally", since the time of these "gene injections" become **"GMOs"** (Genetically Modified Organisms) [5] [Tal Zak 2017]. This associated psycho-emotional burden of "uncertainty and uncertainty", "uncertainty" and also "fear" of what can and possibly will come to me and the others is evident every day and very stressful and difficult to bear.

1. The "genetically modified corona vaccine" with its "E. coli foreign DNA impurities" does not remain at the injection site, as claimed by the manufacturers, but gets into the whole body - after just one hour.

See: Kirchner Jürgen O. (2024) Tested and confirmed: DNA impurities in BioNTech's mRNA vaccine Comirnaty. ISBN-10: 3758312094.

TGA - Therapeutic Goods Administration. Australian Government (8th Jan. 2021): "Nonclinical Evaluation Report BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine (COMIRNATY) screenshot: Table 4-2, p45: "On the distribution of lipid nanoparticles of the COVID-19 modmRNA gene injection BioNTech in the body of laboratory animals - Preclinical animal experiment" www.tga.gov.au/sites/default/files/foi-2389-06.pdf

2. The "fat components of the vaccine packaging" (so-called cationic (+) lipid nanoparticles) [MA] are "highly toxic" and have never been approved for use on humans. Wherever they end up in the human body, they cause strong "inflammation". "Pneumonia" produced by them can be fatal.

Siehe:

Collin T. Inglut, Aaron J. Sorrin, Thilinie Kuruppu, Shruti Vig, Julia Cicalo, Haroon Ahmad, Huang-Chiao Huang: „Immunological and Toxicological Considerations for the Design of Liposomes“ – „Immunologische und toxikologische Überlegungen zum Design von Liposomen“ Nanomaterials 2020, 10(2), 190 www.mdpi.com/2079-4991/10/2/190

ALC-0315 & ALC-0159: "ALC-0315 is an ionizable lipid that has been used to form lipid nanoparticles for the transport of RNA. ALC-0315 is one of the components of the BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2, along with ALC-0159, DSPC and cholesterol www.impfkritik.de/upload/pdf/fachinfo/Corona_biontech_2020-12-21.pdf This is a reagent grade product, for research use only." – "This is a reagent-grade product intended for research purposes only." www.echelon-inc.com/product/alc-0315/ (downloaded: Sun 19.12.2021) now only accessible via Wayback Machine: <https://web.archive.org/web/20210820012604/https://www.echelon-inc.com/product/alc-0315/>

Ndeupen Sonia et al. (2021) The mRNA-LNP platform's lipid nanoparticle component used in preclinical vaccine studies is highly inflammatory. ("The lipid nanoparticle component of the mRNA-LNP platform used in preclinical vaccine studies is highly pro-inflammatory") iScience 24:103479.
[https://www.cell.com/iscience/fulltext/S2589-0042\(21\)01450-4?returnURL=https%3A%2F%2Flinkinghub.elsevier.com%2Fretrieve%2Fpii%2FS2589004221014504%3Fshowall%3Dtrue](https://www.cell.com/iscience/fulltext/S2589-0042(21)01450-4?returnURL=https%3A%2F%2Flinkinghub.elsevier.com%2Fretrieve%2Fpii%2FS2589004221014504%3Fshowall%3Dtrue)

Segalla Gabriele (2023): Chemical-physical criticality and toxicological potential of lipid nano-materials contained in a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. ("Chemisch-physikalische Kritikalität und toxikologisches Potenzial von Lipid-Nano-materialien in einem COVID-19-mRNA-Impfstoff") International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research 3 (1), 787-817. <https://doi.org/10.56098/ijvtp.v3i1.68>

Namit Chaudhary et al. (03.10.2024): "Amine headgroups in ionizable lipids drive immune responses to lipid nanoparticles by binding to the receptors TLR4 and CD1d" <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39363106/>

Shen Chen et al. (26.07.2024): "Advances in the development of lipid nanoparticles for ophthalmic therapeutics" <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0753332224009922?via%3Dihub>

Arne Matteo Jörgensen, Richard Wibel, Andreas Bernkop-Schnürch (Ibk 06.01.2023): "Biodegradable Cationic and Ionizable Cationic Lipids: A Roadmap for Safer Pharmaceutical Excipients" <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36610004/>

3. The "C vaccines" (actually: "gene therapeutics") [Gth] [PR] are highly contaminated with "bacterial foreign DNA" - so-called "genetically modified E. coli plasmid foreign DNA" and "E. coli host DNA" (process 2, large-scale production). Due to their special "cationic (+) lipid nanoparticle packaging", the uptake of the "genetically modified foreign E. coli plasmid DNA" and the "E. coli. host DNA" into the human cell is possible and thus the "genetic modification of cells in the human body" (GMO's) is "inevitable".

Siehe: König Brigitte, Kirchner Jürgen O. (2024) Methodological Considerations Regarding the Quantification of DNA Impurities in the COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Comirnaty®. ("Methodische Überlegungen zur Quantifizierung von DNA-Verunreinigungen im COVID-19-mRNA-Impfstoff Comirnaty®") Methods Protoc. 7, 41. **Figure 2.:** Quantification of total DNA in batches of Comir-naty® using Qubit® fluorometry without and with the addition of Triton-X-100 as a detergent to disintegrate the lipid nanoparticles contained in the vaccine formulation.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/mps7030041>

"The **"GMP - Good Manufacturing Practice Document"** is very remarkable in many respects and not only questions all narratives about COVID-19 with the resulting sequence of events, but it also shows that **the TWO different mod. mRNA manufacturing processes used by BioNTech/Pfizer [1st way - process 1: artificial, PCR computer-controlled and 2nd way - Process 2: Mass production by bacteria (gen.-mod. E.coli DNA plasmids)] as early as September 2019, in any case from 10 January 2020 ...**" From: RA Dr. Brigitte Röhrig: "The Corona Conspiracy" Part III: The Approval Decision - What did those responsible know at that time and what did they not know yet? 3. Quality/ composition of the gene-based COVID-19 injections, 3.1 Requirement and issuance of the manufacturing and import authorization, BioNTech's import authorization for BNT162b2 of January 10, 2020 based on an inspection of September 11, 2019? p. 299f, Rubikon 2023.

GMP - Good Manufacturing Practice describes the minimum standard that a pharmaceutical manufacturer must comply with in its production processes. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) coordinates inspections to verify compliance with these standards and plays a key role in harmonizing GMP activities at European Union (EU) level. Every manufacturer of medicines destined for the EU market must comply with GMP, regardless of where in the world it is located.

Good Manufacturing Practice requires that pharmaceuticals:

1. are of consistently high quality;
2. are fit for their intended purpose;
3. meet the requirements of marketing authorization or approval for clinical trials.

Aus: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory-overview/research-development/compliance-research-development/good-manufacturing-practice>

Lorena Diblasi, Martín Monteverde, David Nonis, Marcela Sangorrín (11.10.2024): „At Least 55 Undeclared Chemical Elements Found in COVID-19 Vaccines from AstraZeneca, CanSino, Moderna, Pfizer, Sinopharm and Sputnik V, with Precise ICP-MS“ - „Mindestens 55 nicht deklarierte chemische Elemente in COVID-19-Impfstoffen von AstraZeneca, CanSino, Moderna, Pfizer, Sinopharm und Sputnik V mit präziser ICP-MS gefunden“

<https://ijvtp.com/index.php/IJVTPr/article/view/111>

Catarina Andrade Barboza et al. (Diabetes 23.12.2024): „SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 Subunit Triggers Pericyte and Microvascular Dysfunction in Human Pancreatic Islets“ („SARS-CoV-2-Spike-S1-Untereinheit löst Perizyten- und Mikrovaskulardysfunktionen in menschlichen Langerhansinseln aus“)

<https://diabetesjournals.org/diabetes/article/74/3/355/157642/SARS-CoV-2-Spike-S1-Subunit-Triggers-Pericyte-and>

4. The "gene-based mRNA" of the "C-LMPs" is artificially modified and is therefore repeatedly "incorrectly" read from the ribosomes ("protein factories in the cell"). This leads to the formation of "completely foreign, unnatural and dangerous proteins" ["incorrect folding of the proteins"] in the human body.

See: Larissa Fußer (Fri 06.10.2023): Thrombosis, inflammation, immune defects **"Nobel Laureate in Medicine warned of serious side effects of mRNA vaccines as early as 2018"** The physician [Drew Weissman], who is receiving the Nobel Prize this year for his contribution to the development of mRNA vaccines, warned of the enormous risks of mRNA technology in a scientific article* as recently as 2018. Explosive: **The vaccine side effects already listed at that time later also occurred with the Corona vaccines.** For a few days now, they have been the stars of the global medical elite: the Hungarian biochemist Katalin Karikó [b.1955] and the US immunologist Drew Weissman [b.1959]. On Monday, it was announced that the two scientists will receive the Nobel Prize in Medicine this year [02.10.2023**] for their basic work in the development of mRNA vaccines. <https://apollo-news.net/medizin-nobelpreistraeger-warnte-bereits-2018-vor-schweren-nebenwirkungen-der-mrna-impfstoffe/>

* **Norbert Pardi, Michael J. Hogan, Frederick W. Porter, Drew Weissman (NATURE 12.01.2018): "mRNA vaccines - a new era in vaccinology"** See: "Therapeutic considerations and challenges. Safety: "... Various mRNA vaccines have now been tested in phase I to IIb clinical trials and have been shown to be safe and well tolerated ... **However, recent human studies have shown moderate and, in rare cases, severe injection site reactions or systemic reactions with various mRNA platforms ...** Potential safety risks that are likely to be investigated in future preclinical and clinical studies include local and systemic inflammation, biodistribution and persistence of the immunogen expressed, stimulation of autoreactive antibodies, and potential toxic effects of non-native nucleotides and components of the delivery system. One potential problem is that some mRNA-based vaccine platforms ... induce strong type I interferon reactions associated not only with inflammation but possibly also with auto-immunity ... Therefore, identifying individuals at increased risk of autoimmune reactions prior to mRNA vaccination may allow appropriate precautions to be taken. Another potential safety risk could result from the presence of extracellular RNA during mRNA vaccination. Extracellular naked RNA has been shown to increase the permeability of densely packed endothelial cells and thus contribute to edema... Another study showed that extracellular RNA promotes blood clotting and the formation of pathological thrombi... Safety therefore needs to continue to be evaluated as different mRNA modalities and delivery systems are used in humans for the first time and tested in larger patient populations..."

Aus: www.nature.com/articles/nrd.2017.243

Mulrone Thomas E. et al. (2024) N1-methylpseudouridylation of mRNA causes +1 ribosomal frameshifting. ("N1-Methylpseudouridylation von mRNA verursacht +1 ribosomalen Leseraster-sprung") Nature 625, 189–194. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06800-3>

"At this point at the latest, the question arises as to how the idea of replacing "classic [protein] antigen vaccines" with "gene vaccines" came about? The answer may sound paradoxical to many, but basically there is an anachronism in this regard that dates back to the time when the genetic engineering of proteins was still very complex.

TODAY, however, tailor-made WISH proteins [antigens] can be ordered very easily online. The number of suppliers is large. For the production of the WISH proteins, they often use a PROCESS that is basically similar to a "gene vaccination", only it is not the human being who is vaccinated with it, but it is CELL CULTURES or MICRO-ORGANISMS. In this process, the gene for the desired protein [antigen] in the form of DNA is first "mechanically" generated and incorporated into the DNA of an insect virus optimized for this purpose. An INSECT CELL culture is then "inoculated" with this virus, this [virus] carries the "spike gene" into the insect cells. The genetically modified cells then produce the desired protein [antigen], which can be obtained quickly and cleanly from the culture according to the latest standards. **If this is so easy to do TODAY, why should the human body still produce a foreign protein [antigen] itself uncontrollably, when it can also be produced very cleanly and precisely dosable in the laboratory or even on an industrial scale?**

The answer is simple: Because you can no longer make a high profit with a conventional "protein vaccine" today, because the patents have long since expired. For mRNA vaccines [gene vaccines], however, the situation is different, because there is patent protection and at least 10 years of approval protection for new approvals.

Without a marketing potential protected by PATENT or APPROVAL, "gene vaccines" make no sense, because the antigen [WUNSCH protein] can be produced cleanly and safely by insect cells as described instead of risky and uncontrolled by the genetically treated people themselves. So obviously great risks were accepted for the sake of it, which were not even sufficiently characterized in preclinical safety studies, because these were simply omitted. "

From: [1] Dr. Jürgen O. Kirchner: "Tested & confirmed. DNA impurities in the mRNA vaccine. Comirnaty from BioNTech and what they tell us" Encore: Why is the author of this book vaccinated with Novavax's COVID-19 protein vaccine? pp. 187-189, BoD 2024

[HSt] "Everything seems to have already been said about the Corona vaccines, which were administered to over five billion people in one to five doses and which led to an estimated 20 million deaths and an immense number of injured people worldwide. However, there is one thing that no one has yet achieved: to prove that the pharmaceutical giants involved knew very well that the whole of humanity was being used as guinea pigs for non-compliantly approved, genetically modifying and toxic, i.e. highly dangerous products. And consciously accepted the suffering and death of many in the process." (Dr. med. vet. Helmut Sterz)

BioNTech and Pfizer's preclinical safety strategy at a glance (left) Overview of the toxicity studies conducted by BioNTech and Pfizer have not been carried out (re)

Die präklinische Sicherheitsstrategie von BioNTech und Pfizer auf einen Blick

Studientyp	Begründung für die Studie	Dosis-begrenzung	Behandlungsperiode	Ergebnis	Relevante Richtlinien*
2-Wochen-Toxizität; Ratte	Voraussetzung für die Erstanwendung beim Menschen	ungenügend	ausreichend	Das toxische Potenzial von BNT162b2 wurde nicht ausgetestet, keine Zielorgane ermittelt, für Risikobewertung beim Menschen ungeeignet	A; B; C; D
Fertilität; weibliche Ratte	Normalerweise Voraussetzung vor Marktzulassung Die Studie war bei der Zulassung nicht abgeschlossen	ungenügend	ausreichend	Das toxische Potenzial von BNT162b2 wurde nicht ausgetestet, Ergebnisse erst nach Notzulassung vorgelegt, für Risikobewertung beim Menschen ungeeignet	A; B; C; D; E
Embryotoxizität, Teratogenität, Peri- und Post-natale Toxizität bei der Ratte	Voraussetzung für Behandlung von Frauen im gebärfähigen Alter, wenn keine sichere Verhütung möglich ist	ungenügend	ungenügend	Das toxische Potenzial von BNT162b2 wurde nicht ausgetestet, erhöhte Anzahl Aborte, leicht erhöhte Anzahl fetale Anomalien, Untersuchung der Jungtiere nach der Geburt ungenügend	A; B; C; D; E

* Die fett gedruckten Buchstaben zeigen eine höhere Relevanz an.

Aus: Dr. med. vet. Helmut Sterz (b.1946, Fachtierarzt f. Pharmakologie & Toxikologie): „Die Impf-Mafia: Pfizers ehemaliger Chef-Toxikologe weist nach, wie uns rechtswidrig Giftstoffe als Heilmittel gegen Covid-19 verkauft wurden“ S.237ff, Rubikon-Verlag 1.Auflage 1.XII 2025

Aus: Dr. med. vet. Helmut Sterz (b.1946, Fachtierarzt f. Pharmakologie & Toxikologie): „Die Impf-Mafia: Pfizers ehemaliger Chef-Toxikologe weist nach, wie uns rechtswidrig Giftstoffe als Heilmittel gegen Covid-19 verkauft wurden“ S.237ff, Rubikon-Verlag 1.Auflage 1.XII 2025

Übersicht über die Toxizitätsstudien, die von BioNTech und Pfizer nicht durchgeführt wurden

Studientyp	Begründung für das Auslassen der Studien	Relevante Richtlinien*
Dosisfindung für Allgemeine Toxizität; Ratte	keine	A; C
Allgemeine Toxizität, 2-Wochen; Nichttrager	keine	A; B; C; D
Allgemeine Toxizität, subchronisch; Ratte	keine	A; C
Allgem. Toxizität, subchronisch; Hund oder Affe	keine	A; C
Fertilität; männliche Ratte	keine	A; C; D; E
Embryotoxizität/Teratogenität; Nichttrager	keine	A; B; D; E
Toxizität an juvenilen Tieren	keine	C
Genotoxizität	keine glaubwürdige	A; B; C; D
Karzinogenität	keine glaubwürdige	A; B; C; D; G
Immunotoxizität	keine	A; B; C; D; F
Sicherheitspharmakologie	keine	A; D; H

* Die fett gedruckten Buchstaben zeigen eine höhere Relevanz an.

Legende der Richtlinien für beide Tabellen:
A) EMEA Guideline on the non-clinical studies required before first clinical use of genotherapy medicinal products, 2008
B) WHO Tech. Report Series No. 972, Section 4, 2005
C) ICH Guideline M3 (R2) on non-clinical safety studies for the conduct of human clinical trials and marketing authorisation for pharmaceuticals, 2009
D) ICH Guideline S6 (R1) on preclinical safety evaluation of biotechnology-derived pharmaceuticals, 2011
E) ICH Guideline S5 (R3) on detection of reproductive and developmental toxicity for human pharmaceuticals, 2020
F) ICH Guideline S8 on immunotoxicity studies for human pharmaceuticals, 2006
G) ICH Guideline S1 A on the need for carcinogenicity studies of pharmaceuticals, 1996
H) ICH Guideline S7 A on safety Pharmacology studies for human pharmaceuticals, 2001

Figs./tables from: Dr. med. vet. Helmut Sterz (b.1946, specialist veterinarian for pharmacology & toxicology): "The vaccination mafia: Pfizer's former chief toxicologist proves how we were illegally sold toxins as a cure for Covid-19" Forewords: "Walking over dead bodies for profits" Wolfgang Wodarg, "One of the most important books of our time" Sucharit Bhakdi, "All vaccinated, all protected?" Christian Perronne, "Ruthless Reappraisal" Stefan W. Hockertz, "Toxic Experiment of Humanity" Philipp Kruse, Afterword: Jens Wernicke (Publisher) Rubikon-Verlag Illustrations edited, p.237-239, Quote: back cover, 1st edition 1.XII 2025 (with kind permission Rubikon Verlag, Jens Wernicke, e-mail: 11.12.2025)

About Helmut Sterz: From 1974 to 1988, he headed the Department of Reproductive Toxicology at Boehringer Mannheim and improved the techniques for detecting toxicity in pregnant laboratory animals and their offspring. Research teams at the University of Heidelberg use his method, and international regulatory authorities have given their approval to its use for the detection of side effects of new drugs. Since 1981, he has been a specialist veterinarian in pharmacology and toxicology. From 1988 to 1995, he headed the Servier Toxicology Center in Orleans, France. To better assess the risk of side effects of new molecules in humans, he introduced alternative animal models. From 1995 to 2001, he headed the Toxicology Center in Basel and finally the entire preclinical toxicology department of F. Hoffmann-La Roche. In 2001, Pfizer appointed him head of the two European toxicology centers in Amboise, France, and Sandwich, UK. In 2007, he left the company with the closure of the laboratory in Amboise and retired.

Sucharit Bhakdi (Ed.), Helmut Sterz (Ed.), Dr. Hans Christophers (Author), Dr. med. Claus Köhnlein (Author), Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Karina Reiß (Author), Jens Wernicke (Author): "mRNA Vaccinations: The Largest Organized Crime Against Humanity - The New Evidence" KOPP 19.02.2026

5. The gene-based "C vaccination" can often cause myocarditis. This disease results in a permanent "reduction in cardiac output" and is "life-shortening".

See: Mansanguan Suyanee et al. (2022) Cardiovascular Manifestation of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine in Adolescents. ("Cardiovascular Manifestation of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine in Adolescents") Trop. Med. Infect. 7, 196. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed7080196>

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2024) Evidence Review of the adverse effects of COVID-19 vaccination and intramuscular vaccine administration. ("Evidence Review of COVID-19 Vaccine Adverse Reactions and Intramuscular Vaccine Administration") Washington DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/27746>

Alexander Simonis et al. (25.03.2025): Persistent epigenetic memory of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in monocyte-derived macrophages www.embopress.org/doi/full/10.1038/s44320-025-00093-6

M. Nathaniel Mead, Jessica Rose, William Makis, Kirk Milhoan, Nicolas Hulscher, Peter A. McCullough (April 2025): "Myocarditis after SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 vaccination: Epidemiology, outcomes, and new perspectives" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH & INNOVATION, Jan-Mar 2025, VOL. 3, ISSUE 1, pp. 1-43, <https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2025.100001>

Due to the "corona treatment alternatives" [BA] that were already known and available at the time, there was also no medical and infection-epidemiological urgency to these preventive (prophylactic) and only "conditionally" and "specially" "urgently" approved "gene-based mRNA injections".

COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2, affects only about 1% of the total population from an epidemiological point of view, and only in the sense of a higher and severe probability of disease occurrence for the "vulnerable (risk) groups (i.e. *older people over 70a+ with pre-existing conditions*", such as DM, high blood pressure, severe obesity, heart/kidney failure, drug immunosuppression, etc.), so about 99% of the population will be only mildly or not at all affected by COVID-19 [JI].

The **absolute risk reduction** (ARR) through these only "conditionally approved gene-based experimental substances" with "telescoping" test phases, - which also do not cause "sterile immunity" [Sterile immunity means: The virus is not spread further. In: RA Beate Bahner, p.207] - is unfortunately only around 1% and less. The "Corona vaccination" is therefore not a "game changer". [SK]

The **principle of proportionality** requires that there must be a reasonable (demonstrable, evidence-based) relationship between the MEANS used (checked according to "suitability", "necessity/urgency", "appropriateness") and the intended (legitimate) GOAL/PURPOSE, i.e. the benefit of the MEANS used (e.g. vaccination, mask, distance, lockdown, medication, PCR test, etc.) must be greater than the potential harm of the person to be combated. "agent" (ZIELS, e.g. viral infection, >200 different "cold viruses", including SARS-CoV-2; bacterial infections, etc.), the so-called "purpose-goal-means relation" or "benefit-risk assessment".

In addition, the publicly claimed "benefit" or publicly claimed "effectiveness" of all "gene-based mod.mRNA "C vaccines" at the beginning of the "C vaccination campaign" has not been and has not been proven.

This was known and aware of the "responsible" decision-makers in politics, the pharmaceutical industry, medical associations [OEAEK] and also the authorities. For example, it is stated in the **crisis team meeting of the RKI** on **27.04.2020** (p. 8/ p. 725 of the RKI protocols): *"There will be several vaccines that have been tested in a hurry ... Relevant data [on the "C vaccination"] will only be collected post-marketing."* Source: <https://rki-transparenzbericht.de/>

Due to the large amount of "information" that has even reached the public in the meantime, such as the aforementioned "leaked" RKI protocols [RKI-P], I had to realize in a frightening way that I had "unknowingly" and "unintentionally" become **part of a "large-scale gene therapy experiment and experiment on humans"** [Gth] [NKO] [PR].

I and all the other "pricked" earthlings have now "unintentionally" become "GMOs" (Genetically Modified Organisms). Because the "GMO definition" of the **AGES** [5] [Tal Zak 2017] reads: *"Genetically modified organisms (GMOs) are organisms whose genetic material is deliberately modified with the help of genetic engineering methods. In this process, gene segments are transferred in order to give plants [around human cells with gene-based "C-vaccinations"], for example, certain properties that are impossible or very difficult to achieve with conventional breeding [with "classical vaccinations"]."* [Supplements]

This applies to the same extent to the **"corona vector DNA vaccines"**. In the case of the "C-vector vaccine", the modified "DNA pieces" with the "blueprint/instructions for the spike protein of the coronavirus" are packed into modified "monkey adenoviruses" that are "harmless" to humans, so that they can enter our ("mucosa") body cells with the "modified C-spike protein blueprint" in order to then be able to transfer this encoded "information" into the "nucleus DNA" (into our human genome!). Cell-specific proteins transcribe this modified "DNA" into "modified mRNA", which now migrates from the cell nucleus and serves there (in the cytoplasm) as a template for the ribosomes to produce the modified "corona spike proteins".

The RKI protocols of March 2021 already document that an alarming number of fatal "sinus vein thrombosis" (SVT, CVST) occurred after the administration of the Astra Zeneca vaccine (Vaxzevria®) [4]. While in other countries (such as Denmark, Norway, Iceland) the Astra Zeneca vaccine was banned over time [6], Germany and Austria stuck to vaccination with Vaxzevria® from AstraZeneca.

It was not until Tuesday, **May 6, 2024**, that the "withdrawal of the marketing authorization of Vaxzevria®" came into force in all EU states, according to a document from the EU Commission.

Source: <https://www.mdr.de/wissen/medizin-gesundheit/astrazeneca-nicht-mehr-zugelassen-100.html>

"In a communication from the EU Commission, it was said [actually "trivialising"] that it was not unacceptable usually that companies applied for the withdrawal of the marketing authorisation of medicinal products or vaccines for commercial reasons." Source: [www.aerzteblatt.de/nachrichten/151269/](http://www.aerzteblatt.de/nachrichten/151269/Approval-for-AstraZeneca-vaccine-withdrawn)

Approval-for-AstraZeneca vaccine-withdrawn

It is now common knowledge that all experimental "gene-based and modified corona vaccines" ["Gene therapeutics disguised as vaccinations" Directive 2009/120/EC] cause vascular inflammation, thrombosis and organ damage, and can even be fatal.

Apparently, my "vaccinator" himself did not know what exactly he was doing and "injecting", because otherwise he would have had to tell me what potential "risks", "dangers" and "side effects" these "special and urgent gene injections" with "telescopic" test phases have and can possibly occur/occur, even that this "C-vaccination" can be fatal [3].

I wish for myself and the other "vaccinated" people around the world that the legal principle from Roman law **"Ignorantia legis non excusat"** - **"Ignorance does not protect against punishment!"** - will continue to apply today and in this "terrible" context.

The "omission of profound and complete medical information" and the then "administration of the gene injection" (wrongly: Corona "vaccination") carried out "in spite of this" - even if I do not perceive any clinically tangible complaints "post vaccinationem"! - already fulfills the offence of "dangerous" and "negligent" bodily harm!

Describe your "complaints" here if you have any that have occurred since the administration of the "gene-based C vaccination(s)", as ...

I myself now have the following "health" problems, which in my opinion can be traced back to these gene-based and modified "C vaccinations" (gene therapy [Gth] [PR] (Commission Directive No. 2009/120/EC), which in my opinion can be traced back to these gene-based and modified "C vaccinations":

"By the fact that I "unknowingly" and "unintentionally" became part of a "drug-genetherapy-vaccine large-scale trial" and "experiment on humans", which was also carried out by doctors who carried out these "gene injections" without the "Hippocratic principle" such as "Primum non nocere, secundum cavere, tertium (ipse) sanare" (firstly, "do no harm!", secondly, "be careful!", thirdly, "contribute to (self-)healing!") (§ 49 ÄrzteG), as if in a "frenzy", without having clarified "correctly and profoundly", these "special and urgent gene injections" ("disguised" as "Corona vaccination" [Commission Directive No. 2009/120/EC] referred to as "telescopic test phases", I am unfortunately no longer the "natural and unharmed" human being [Art. 8 ECHR] [LZ], as before these "gene therapeutics-vaccine medication interventions. What else will happen to me and the others "genetically" initiated?

I and other "spiked" earthlings are now "unintentionally" transformed into a "GMO" (Genetically Modified Organism) [5] [Tal Zak 2017]. This associated "psycho-emotional" BURDEN of "uncertainty and uncertainty", "uncertainty", also "fear" and "anger", is evident every day, very stressful and often difficult to bear.

Unusually and unpleasantly, I wake up more and more often after midnight, with the stressful thoughts of how this "Corona vaccine thing" will end on my part? My daily mood is now burdened by phases of "depression" and "dejection" and unfortunately also "anger", against these "vaccinators" and the responsible "politicians" and the "medical association curia" and their "vicarious agents". Also my "drive", the "physical and mental performance" - with a noticeably slowed down information processing, word-finding and at times even speech disorders, a "noticeable" disturbed short-term memory and a limited ability to concentrate. (so-called "brain fog"), - suffer "severely" at times.

These circumstances, but above all the **circumstance "no longer being the same as before the "C-vaccine drug intervention"**, is certainly already part of the **offence** of "deception", "negligence", "unauthorized medical treatment", "intentional endangerment of the public", "negligent endangerment of the public" and even "grossly negligent bodily harm". To make matters worse, **my innate "integrity" [Art. 7 CFR, Art. 8 ECHR] [LZ] is no longer given and can no longer be given.**

I and all other fellow human beings were certainly not born with "artificially modified mRNA" and also not with "genetically modified bacterial E. coli plasmid foreign DNA" and "E. coli host foreign DNA"!

I find this entire "Corona vaccination gene therapy bodily injury issue" not inconsequential and harmless, not even "funny", but more than extremely tragically deadly "serious", so the "laughter" - increasingly since the spring of 2021 - has really disappeared from me."

The **"failure to provide profound and complete medical information"** (even if I do not perceive any clinically comprehensible complaints "post vaccinationem"!) fulfills the criteria of **"dangerous"** and **"negligent" bodily harm.**

Since my life is (considerably) impaired by the "gene-based and modified C vaccination",

[Therefore] I call on you to prosecute the "crimes", also to prevent similar dangers for third parties.

Accordingly, I hereby call on you to initiate an **investigation** and expressly refer you to the **"principle of official investigation"** (principle of investigation). Furthermore, I urge you to consult "expert" and "independent persons" for the clarification of the facts who do not, such as the staff of the "Federal Office for Safety in Health Care" (BASG), the "Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety GmbH" (AGES), the "Robert Koch Institute" (RKI), the "Paul Ehrlich Institute" (PEI) or similar, work in the hierarchy of authorities bound by instructions or biased by other dependencies (such as economic dependence).

As a "victim of a crime", I would like to politely ask you to send me a "free written confirmation of my complaint". (§ 80 para 1 StPO)

Finally, I would like to ask you to inform me about the result of the "investigation procedure"

Thank you very much for your "helping" and "empathetic" understanding!

Best regards,

Place, date, signature of the complainant

SOURCES, NOTES, EXPLANATIONS, ADDITIONS, IMPORTANT INFORMATION:

[StA] Public Prosecutor's Office Vienna: The Service Center is available as a central point of contact for the concerns of the population seeking justice. Phone: +43 (0)1 40127-0. www.justiz.gv.at/sta-wien/staatsanwaltschaft-wien/servicecenter.2c94848642ec5e0d0143e876da3a464e.de.html

General Procuratorate and Senior Public Prosecutor's Offices:

<https://www.justiz.gv.at/staatsanwaltschaften/liste-der-staatsanwaltschaften/generalprokuratur-und-oberstaatsanwaltschaften.2c9484853f60f165013f75c5facd5662.de.html>

Internet Ombudsman Office: How do I file a criminal complaint?

www.ombudsstelle.at/hass-im-netz/wie-mache-ich-eine-straftanzeige

[CI] "However, a crucial problem with this invention, which is ingenious from a purely scientific point of view ["**Packaging of the modified mRNA in cationic (+) lipid nanoparticles**"], is that DNA is also negatively charged [like modmRNA] under the given conditions and that therefore DNA impurities, just like the [mod]mRNA active ingredient, are also withdrawn from the human defense by packaging in "lipid nanoparticles". DNA impurities penetrate into the cells just like the [mod]mRNA active ingredient and can therefore have ["undesirable" and "serious"] effects there. This was clear to all scientists involved in this research work from the beginning, so that the importance of DNA impurities and their depletion in the active ingredient was always in focus. However, since DNA is chemically very stable, but RNA is comparatively sensitive and threatened by decay, this has always been a particular challenge for the manufacturing process, especially with regard to the costs incurred by purification, i.e. the removal of DNA impurities in the [mod]mRNA active ingredient. [This] ... led to the use of a [mod]mRNA active ingredient produced with expensive high-tech processes [Process 1] and specially purified in APPROVAL STUDIES for Comirnaty, but a [mod]mRNA active ingredient produced with cheaper and less demanding processes in terms of quality [Process 2] in the marketed product ... It was not until spring 2023 that the US scientist Kevin McKernan and his team made public that the [mod]mRNA vaccines are massively contaminated with [mod. E.coli plasmid] DNA ... It must have been immediately clear to any scientist who had understood the mode of action of the [mod]mRNA vaccines that the large quantities [mod. E.coli vaccines] foundPlasmid]DNA contamination [from the "production process" of the "marketed vaccine batches"] pose a serious DRUG RISK ... In any case, a joint outcry, at least from the relevant researching

scientists, would have been expected. BUT, this outcry failed to materialize ... SCIENTISTS ... POLITICIANS, MEDIA ... AUTHORITIES, who are responsible for monitoring drug safety, remained alarmingly silent. WHAT DID THAT MEAN? WAS IT SUPPOSED TO BE CONCEALED FROM PEOPLE WHAT COULD NO LONGER BE REVERSED? In fact, corresponding statements were later heard from politicians and media representatives: THE CITIZEN SHOULD BE KEPT STUPID ..."

From: Dr. Jürgen O. Kirchner (German biologist, pharmaceutical expert): "Tested & confirmed. DNA impurities in the mRNA vaccine. Comirnaty from BioNTech and what they tell us" I. Planned ignorance instead of drug safety? pp. 27ff, 31, BoD 2024; [Supplements] **WHO limit value DNA impurity: 10 ng total DNA/**

Vaccine dose Comirnaty (EMA "Rolling Review Report" of 19.11.2020). However, compliance with this limit value is only checked at the active ingredient level [modmRNA], but not in the marketed end product. (Directive of the EDQM - European Directorate for the Quality of Medicinal Products)

Kevin McKernan, Yvonne Helbert, Liam T. Kane, Stephen McLaughlin (10.04.2023): "Sequencing of bivalent Moderna and Pfizer mRNA vaccines reveals nanogram to microgram quantities of expression vector dsDNA per dose"

https://cdn-ceo-ca.s3.amazonaws.com/1i4tp3q-Sequencing%20of%20bivalent_4-11-23.pdf

<https://osf.io/preprints/osf/b9t7m>

Yuqin Xu et al. (27.04.2024): "R-loop and diseases: the cell cycle matters" "The R-loop is a three-stranded structure consisting of an RNA-DNA hybrid and a single DNA strand, ... widely distributed in bacteria to mammals ..."

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11055327/>

Kevin McKernan, Charles Rixey, Jessica Rose (05.12.2025): "RNA:DNA hybrids survive digestion in mRNA vaccine manufacturing - Running Title: RNA:DNA hybrids resist DNaseI in mRNA vaccines" "RNA:DNA-Hybride überstehen "Purification digestion" in mRNA vaccine production - Short title: RNA:DNA hybrids are resistant to DNaseI in mRNA vaccines" <https://zenodo.org/records/17832183>

David Jeremiah Speicher et al. (19.10.2023): "DNA fragments detected in COVID-19 vaccines in Canada. DNA fragments detected in monovalent and bivalent"

https://osf.io/preprints/osf/mjc97?url_trace_7f2r5y6=Press_Release_Template_fry_2023_alt.docx

Phillip Buckhaults, Ph.D. Professor of Cancer Molecular Genetics University of South Carolina (12.09.2023): "The Pfizer mRNA vaccine is Con-taminated with the plasmid DNA vector that was used as the template for in vitro transcription reaction."

www.scstatehouse.gov/CommitteeInfo/SenateMedicalAffairsCommittee/PandemicPreparedness/Phillip-Buckhaults-SC-Senate-09122023-final.pdf

[AK] **"Medical responsibility:** Doctors bear a special responsibility that goes far beyond what applies to other professional groups. It is not enough to merely accept the *prevailing opinions* or *orders*. Rather, it is their duty to thoroughly question everything – be it what is claimed by non-medical professionals or even what comes from the ranks of medical colleagues. Any personal doubt must be carefully examined and dispelled before making decisions that affect the well-being of patients. **In situations where knowledge is not sufficient for an informed decision, it is of utmost importance to communicate this openly to patients.** Only through this care and transparency can trust between doctor and patient be maintained. ..."

From: Tom Lausen: "Veiled Deaths by mRNA Vaccinations? p.73, in: Dr. Dirk V. Seeling (ed.): "Anthology Long/Post COVID & mRNA Vaccine Side Effects - What Doctors and Affected Persons Need to Know" Sound-of-Truth Publishing UG 2nd edition 2024, www.sound-of-truth.com/

PdV Federal Party Conference (Sun 01.06.2025): **"Before the Injection - The Medical Duty to Provide Information in the Shadow of State Vaccination Campaigns"** RA Dr. Carlos A. Gebauer gives an insightful insight into the medical duty to provide information from a legal perspective. In particular, the relationship between doctor and patient in the field of tension of state health policy comes into focus. In a libertarian health care system, the question of the personal responsibility of both sides arises above all - a topic that must be critically examined. "Information asymmetry between doctor and patient" [from min 15:56]

From: www.youtube.com/watch?v=tVaMV5w16mQ&ab_channel=ParteiderVernunft

"Legally, the highest duty of care applies to vaccination education, since vaccination is a "non-urgent" medical intervention on a healthy person (prevention, prophylaxis)."

In order to be able to achieve self-determined decision-making for or against vaccination, time-consuming information and education is required so that, from a legal point of view, a so-called **"effective consent"** (WE) can be obtained (otherwise vaccination is a "bodily injury"!).

Which doctor would therefore still vaccinate a "healthy" client against his will, even if a so-called "vaccination obligation" would be introduced? (Reductio ad absurdum).

(WE) "**INFORMATION-UNDERSTANDING-VOLUNTARINESS**": The doctrine of "informed consent", the "consent after careful information" about the risks and benefits of medication/vaccination, medical acts and alternatives, the ethical and legal principle of being able to determine one's own fundamental right over one's own body (including health)!

The "**principle of effective informed consent**" - a central ethical principle in medicine - is always applied when medical interventions/actions may be associated with the risk of injury/injury or death. It is based on the "**recognition of the inviolability of the individual human right to AUTONOMY and SELF-DETERMINATION**". It also includes the right to refuse a medical act/therapy/vaccination.

Constitutionally, an "unequal treatment" between "vaccinated" ("vaccination advocates") and "unvaccinated" [UG] ("vaccination refusers"; contemptuously discriminatory called "vaccination opponents") is not objectively justifiable and thus illegal!

The "treating-informing" physician has the duty to draw the attention of the "healthy" client to the possibility of vaccination ("prevention") against various "infectious diseases" (so-called vaccine-preventable childhood diseases), while respecting personal rights on both sides and without tribulation, quite independently of his personal medical opinion.

As part of the medical education and treatment, the doctor must inform the patient about the medical standard and the risks, possible dangers and harmful consequences associated with it, and (treatment) alternatives.

Conclusion: "The more serious (more profound), more intensive and more comprehensive the legally prescribed information (since the highest duty of care!) is carried out, the more the willingness to be vaccinated decreases!"

The medical duty to provide information (ÄrzteG § 49) extends all the further the less the intervention is urgent or even necessary from the point of view of a reasonable patient. **VACCINATIONS** (vaccines, vaccines) **are just like all other active pharmaceutical ingredients foreign to the body, not nature-identical, synthetic MEDICINES** (xenobiotics), **so it must not be given the impression that "vaccination is virtually vital" (unavoidable) and that "LIFE without XENOBIOTICS" (vaccinations, medicines) is not possible at all.**

The "**principle of medical freedom of therapy**" states that a doctor cannot be forced to use a treatment method that contradicts his medical conscience. Doctors are also allowed to refuse "vaccination"!

An individual-personal guarantee for the effectiveness (benefit), safety and necessity of vaccination is not given to us by the *vaccine manufacturers or by the authorities*. What we are promised is a statistical-collective average probability-efficacy in relative percent!

However, the decisive question in vaccination is **whether "vaccinated" people actually** have a clearly demonstrable health advantage or benefit **compared to** "unvaccinated" [UG] - "vacci-nated versus unvaccinated" - is "scientifically" clearly only answerable by **comparative placebo-controlled double-blind studies (RCT)**!

Therefore, the fundamental question that concerns all "vaccinating" parents - ***"Is my child better off if he is fully vaccinated, only partially vaccinated or not vaccinated at all?"*** - cannot be adequately answered by science!

Accordingly, the repeated mantra of the health authorities - *"Vaccines have been extensively and thoroughly studied and proven to be safe and effective"* - is so absolute, uncertain and daring and not evidence-based (no RCT - Randomized Controlled Trial)!

The "rejection" of these RCT studies is based on a **CIRCULAR FALLACY** (A), an error of proof in which the prerequisites already contain what is to be proven - *"Since vaccinations work, it is unethical to withhold them from the "placebo group"!* - an absolute no-go (taboo) in science! The more we think critically about "vaccination", the more the "vaccination idea" suffers, and this has consequences for the willingness to be vaccinated - the so-called vaccination rate - and the sales of the vaccine-producing pharmaceutical industry!

(A) "**The later is the earlier**" = circle (fallacy) = vicious circle = vicious circle: "If, for example, a court finds that a witness is credible, but refers only to the statements of the witness himself, whose credibility is at stake, then at least the suspicion is obvious that the judgment on the credibility of the witness had already been made before his testimony was considered more closely. At the very least, it would hardly seem sufficient to base the credibility of a witness's testimony alone, e.g. on his "internal freedom from contradiction", without asking whether it corresponds to other evidence."

From: Prof. Dr. Jan C. Joerden: "Logic in Law: Fundamentals and Application Examples" Chapter 7: Syllogistic Structures [Catalogue of Certain Types of Logical Conclusions] B. Examples of Application. II: Specific Legal Conclusions and the Danger of Fallacies. d. The Circular Reasoning (circularis vitiosus) p.316, SPRINGER VERLAG 3rd revised and supplemented edition 2018 (2005) [Supplements]

"Since the decision of the Constitutional Court of 14.3.2012 (VfSlg.19.632/2012), the fundamental rights granted by Union law (CFR) are also among the constitutionally guaranteed fundamental rights in Austria and thus also form a standard of review in judicial review proceedings (NK) (71). In order to be able to support important decisions as a

people, it is important to be sufficiently informed. Only then is freedom of expression possible and thus participation in the political decision-making process."

"Risk factor conditional authorisation:

According to Cornelia Stolze, the **Corona vaccination is a giant experiment** [Min 14:28: "uncontrolled field trial"(CS)], she wonders how to record the side effects after approval ... In the event of a pandemic, clinical trials are conducted within a drastically reduced timeframe, so the data available for reviewing the application for marketing authorization is limited. A conditional approval procedure is foreseen for this. "This means that the original marketing authorisation is based on less comprehensive data than would normally be the case and that marketing **authorisation holders will have to supplement the data later**. In addition, the [European] Commission (EC) has shortened the framework necessary to complete the authorisation procedure. (instead of nine weeks – one week!). In addition, it was agreed to derogate from certain **provisions of the GMO Directive [Directive 2001/18/EC]**: regulates the release and placing on the market of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) **for clinical trials of Covid-19 vaccines** (EC). It is therefore obvious that SAFETY STANDARDS have been softened in favor of accelerated approval ... a **telescoping, rolling approval procedure** ..."

From: Dr. Claudio Deriu: "Compulsory Vaccination: The End of the Free Society" Compulsory vaccination against the background of the constitution. Fundamental Rights and Freedom, p.37, Suitability of vaccination to achieve goals? Risk factor conditional approval, p... 124f, Frank & Frei 1st edition 15 February 2022

(NK) Judicial review: The review of legal norms to determine whether they are compatible with higher-ranking law. "In Austria, the Federal Constitutional Act (cf. Art. 98 B-VG) follows the principle of the calculation of errors. This means that general norms (laws, ordinances, international treaties and re-promulgations) are not void in the event of their illegality, but apply until they are repealed. In this context, the Constitutional Court (VfGH) has the monopoly on repeal." (Source: <https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Normenkontrolle>)

(71) Johannes Hengstschläger, David Leeb: "Fundamental Rights" From the series Kurzlehrbuch, p.5, MANZ Verlag Vienna 23.07.2019

Dr. jur. Manfred Welan (1937-2024): "On fundamental rights and their development in Austria"
www.demokratiezentrum.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/welan_grundrechte.pdf

(CS) BR24 – Munich Round (05.12.2020): "How safe are the Corona vaccines and what comes after vaccination?" The guests of the show: Joachim Herrmann, Minister of the Interior in Bavaria, CSU, Stefan Schmidt-Troschke, Board Member of Doctors for Individual Vaccination Decisions, Klaus Stöhr, virologist and epidemiologist, Cornelia Stolze, science journalist, Christiane Wopen, Chair of the European Ethics Council.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mMo01l9fK38&ab_channel=BR24

(EC) COM, EU Strategy for Covid-19 Vaccines, COM 2020 245 final, 17.06.2020
<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/DE/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52020DC0245&from=IT>

[BA] ""The **REFUSAL POLICY** towards **ivermectin** and **hydroxychloroquine** [suggests] the strong presumption that a conditional or emergency use authorization for COVID-19 injections in other countries would no longer have been possible if the efficacy and safety of ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine had been officially proven. In the EVENT of the existence of an alternative treatment method with therapeutic agents, even outside the authorised area of application, which have few side effects and are effective, the granting of a conditional marketing authorisation shall be inadmissible or at least a conditional marketing authorisation shall be suspended until proof of the quality, efficacy and safety (safety) of the COVID-19 injections has been provided on the basis of complete documentation in accordance with the generally applicable regulations."

"What CONSEQUENCES would it have entailed if the official bodies had admitted or at least investigated the EFFICACY and SAFETY of the alternative drugs ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine?"

- *The credo of VACCINATION as the only way out of the pandemic would have collapsed!
 - * There would have been no justification for concluding the contracts with vaccine manufacturers for tens of billions of EURO/US dollars!
 - * The measures of quarantine, lockdowns 2G, 3G, compulsory vaccination, etc. could not have been enforced!
 - * There would have been no more justification for vaccination passports and COVID-19 certificates!
- In other words, the entire panic scenario would have collapsed.

TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES with ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine are systematically suppressed, because: no emergency or conditional approval with treatment alternative! ..."

From: RA Dr. Brigitte Röhrig: "The Corona Conspiracy – How Billionaires, Politicians and 'Civil Servants Knowingly and Willingly Erased Freedom and Health", Part II: The Accelerated Approval Process. 5. Scope of the Conditional Approval for COVID-19 Injections and Vaccines. 5.2. Existence of a Medical Care Gap for the Treatment of COVID-19 – Ivermectin and Hydroxy-chloroquine, p.243f, Rubicon 2023

Prof. Dr. Stefan Homburg - Expert Member of the Enquete Commission "Reappraisal of the Corona Pandemic and Lessons for Future Pandemic Events" 21st German Bundestag: **"On the Assessment of the Danger Situation in March 2020" - Statement Paper for the Meeting of Working Group 2 on 09.07.2026.** 1. International Data, 2. Severe Respiratory Diseases, 3. Clinical Situation in Germany, 4. RKI versus Politics, **5. Summary:**

"This paper showed that in March 2020, data from China and South Korea initially contradicted the assumption of a danger situation. The figures there showed that the corona wave did not spread "exponentially", but ran out in the form of the textbook epicurve, like all waves of colds. Moreover, South Korea has never imposed a lockdown, and China had only temporarily sealed off some cities in Hubei province in 2020. Central to the assessment of the situation in Germany at that time was the decline in severe respiratory diseases (including Covid-19), which the RKI continuously monitored in particular. These SARI reached their maximum in the week of 2-8 March 2020 and fell thereafter. The underlying infections fell even earlier as a result of the incubation period, for example after Ash Wednesday on 26.02.2020. In addition, hospital occupancy fell to a historic low. The authorities and politicians were aware of all these facts, which is why the experts of the RKI explicitly advised against coercive measures as late as 19.03.2020, when the misleading images from Bergamo began to circulate. All data and documents at the time prove beyond doubt that border closures, lockdowns, daycare and school closures, compulsory masks and contact bans were political decisions that were in blatant contradiction to the clinical situation in March 2020 and also in contradiction to the recommendations of the RKI. Sweden, South Dakota, Japan, South Korea, Tanzania, Burundi and many other countries did not decide on lockdowns and did well with them." From: www.stefan-homburg.de/images/Thesenpapier_Enquete.pdf

[MH] Prof. Dr. med. Dr. phil. Martin Haditsch: **"Spike - Vaccination or Gene Injection? - Bioweapon - Permanent Damage - Cure"** p.87, Chapter 4: Problems Caused by Spike Substances p.113ff, Chapter 6: "Possible Legal Implications", p.126-144, Chapter 9: Final Assessment, p.180f, Amadeus Verlag 1st edition X/2023.

About the person: DDr. Martin Haditsch is a physician and biologist. After training as a general practitioner, he became a "Specialist in Hygiene and Microbiology" in 1995 and a "Specialist in Tropical Medicine" in 1998. He is a certified emergency physician and holder of the "Certificate in Travel Medicine" of the International Society for Travel Medicine - "CTM(TM)"

[PR] Peter Rhodes A,¹ Peter I. Parry B, C, (06.11.2024): **"Recall of medicinal products and justified hesitancy towards new drugs and novel vaccines"** – "Pharmaceutical product recall and educated hesitancy towards new drugs and novel vaccines" ... "Results: Parallels to previous drug withdrawals and gene-based vaccines include the bias of data from clinical trials, with a lack of critical adverse event data in influential journal publications. In the case of Vioxx (rofecoxib), there was a delay in regulatory action regarding the pharmacovigilance data that led to the triggering of the withdrawal from the market, and this is evident in the case of the gene-based COVID-19 vaccines. Results: Parallels with past drug withdrawals and gene-based vaccines include distortion of clinical trial data, with critical adverse event data absent from high-impact journal publications. Delayed regulatory action on pharmacovigilance data to trigger market withdrawal occurred with Vioxx (rofecoxib) and is apparent with the gene-based COVID-19 vaccines. Conclusion: Public health requires access to raw data from clinical trials, more transparency from companies and increased active pharmacovigilance worldwide. Conclusion: Public health requires access to raw clinical trial data, improved transparency from corporations and heightened, active pharmacovigilance worldwide" From: International Journal of Risk & Safety in Medicine: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/09246479241292008>

To [PR] A, ¹ Independent Researcher, Gonville & Caius College, University of Cambridge, UK (Alma Mater), FA of Anaesthesia and Critical Care Medicine, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. B Clinical Research Unit in Child Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. C Department of Psychiatry, College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia





Figure 4: **Reported presumed deaths in major drug/vaccine recalls compared to reported presumed deaths related to the COVID-19 vaccine.** From: VAERS Analysis. VAERS Summary for COVID-19 Vaccines through 04/26/2024. **"Note that the total number of deaths related to the COVID-19 vaccines is more than THREE times the number of deaths related to all other vaccines combined since the year 1990."** <https://vaersanalysis.info/2024/05/03/vaers-summary-for-covid-19-vaccines-through-4-26-2024/> (2024, cited June 6, 2024). From: Peter Rhodes, Peter I. Parry (06.11.2024): "Pharmaceutical product recall and educated hesitancy towards new drugs and novel vaccines" From: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/09246479241292008>

VAERS (Vaccine adverse Event Reporting System) is the U.S. reporting system for vaccine adverse events introduced in 1990. It is a voluntary reporting system that is **estimated to record only 1% of vaccine injuries** (more on this under the item "Underreporting" in VAERS). **OpenVAERS** is based on data from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), which is available for download at vaers.hhs.gov. The OpenVAERS project allows you to search and search the reports without the need to create an advanced search (advanced searches can be performed on medalerts.org or vaers.hhs.gov). **Open Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System:** <https://openvaers.com/>

"... Adverse events from drugs and vaccines are common, but underreported. Although 25% of ambulatory patients experience an adverse drug event, less than 0.3% of all adverse drug events and 1-13% of serious events are reported to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Likewise, fewer than 1% of vaccine adverse events are reported. Low reporting rates preclude or slow the identification of "problem" drugs and vaccines that endanger public health. New surveillance methods for drug and vaccine adverse effects are needed. ..."

"... Side effects of drugs and vaccines are common but reported too rarely. Although 25% of outpatients experience side effects, less than 0.3% of all adverse drug reactions and 1-13% of serious events are reported to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). **Similarly, less than 1% of vaccine side effects are reported.** These low reporting rates prevent or delay the identification of problematic drugs and vaccines that pose a threat to public health. New monitoring methods for drug and vaccine side effects are therefore urgently needed. ..."

Aus: Results, p6: <https://digital.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/docs/publication/r18hs017045-lazarus-final-report-2011.pdf>



ServusTV On (12.04.2025): "The Corona Perpetrators!" Screenshot (edited, on Sun 13.04.2025)
From: www.youtube.com/watch?v=AHw39UQ7nWs&ab_channel=ServusTVOn (Min 07:42)
Travel Med Center: Dr. Martin Haditsch, A-4060 Leonding, Hochstrasse 6a
<https://www.travelmed.at/>

[Gth] Dr. Sucharit Bhakdi, Dr. Karina Reiß, Dr. Claus Köhnlein: "Understanding Infections – Instead of Fearing: Of Myths, Delusion and Reality" Kopp Verlag e.K. 21 August 2025 [Leseprobe Kapitel Genbasierte-Impfungen.pdf](https://www.kopp-verlag.at/$WS/kopp-verlag/websale8_shop-koppverlag/benutzer/navigation/pdf/)
[www.kopp-verlag.at/\\$WS/kopp-verlag/websale8_shop-koppverlag/benutzer/navigation/pdf/](https://www.kopp-verlag.at/$WS/kopp-verlag/websale8_shop-koppverlag/benutzer/navigation/pdf/).

"On the definition of gene therapy, in: **"Commission Directive 2009/120/EC"** - Directive 2009/120/EC of the Commission of **14 September 2009** amending Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use as regards advanced therapy medicinal products (Text with EEA relevance). Extract from text:

'2.1. Gene therapy medicinal product: a *gene therapy* means a *biological medicinal product* which has the following characteristics: **(a)** it contains an active substance containing or consisting of a recombinant nucleic acid used in or administered to humans to regulate, repair, replace, add or delete a genetic sequence; **(b)** its therapeutic, prophylactic or diagnostic effect is directly related to the recombinant nucleic acid sequence it contains or to the product of the genetic expression of that sequence. **"Gene therapy drugs" do not include "vaccines against infectious diseases" ...**

Aus: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32009L0120> (Stand: Sat 31.08.2024)

CAVE: Therefore, in a "frightening" way, **there are no strict "safety tests" for "geno-toxicity, mutagenicity, teratogenicity, and oncogenicity" (GT) for "gene therapeutics" that are called "vaccines against infectious diseases" ("camouflaged").**

Product information of the BioNTech/Pfizer vaccine Comirnaty: ANNEX I: SUMMARY OF PRODUCT CHARACTERISTICS 5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES 5.3 Preclinical safety data

Genotoxicity/carcinogenicity: **No genotoxicity or carcinogenicity studies have been conducted.** The components of the vaccine (lipids and mRNA) are not expected to have a genotoxic potential.

Reproductive toxicity **Reproductive and developmental toxicity was evaluated in rats in a combined fertility and developmental toxicity study** in which female rats were administered intramuscularly with Comirnaty prior to mating and during gravidity (administration of 4 full human doses, which produce relatively higher doses in rats due to body weight differences, and extended between day 21 prior to mating and day 20 of gravidity). SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody responses were present in the maternal animals from before mating until the end of the study on postnatal day 21, as well as in the fetuses and offspring. There were no vaccine-related effects on female fertility, gestation, or embryo and fetus or offspring development. **There are no data on Comirnaty for placental transfer of the vaccine or excretion in milk.**

Aus: https://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/community-register/2020/20201221150522/anx_150522_de.pdf

Parliamentary question from Gerald Hauser to the Federal Minister of Social Affairs, Health, Care and Consumer Protection (received on 01.02.2023) **regarding the obligation of vaccinators to provide information and false information in the vaccination folder of the BMSGPK "Interesting facts about corona vaccination" - data as of 26.09.2022 and its consequences.** From:
https://www.parlament.gv.at/dokument/XXVII/J/14010/fnameorig_1518141.html

"(IT HAS) **NOW BEEN SHOWN IN STUDIES** THAT THE SO-CALLED "REVERSE TRANSCRIPTASE", THE "CONVERSION" OF SARS-CoV-2 mRNA into DNA and the integration into the cell nucleus (genome), can occur at least in the laboratory. Proven ... in infected human cells (MZ) ... In the meantime, there is also the aspect of "contamination of the injections" with "plasmid DNA" [mod. E.coli bacteria-DANN and E.Coli host DNA]... [thus] the incorporation into the "human genome" becomes many times more likely (PVU) ...

These RESULTS show that an INCORPORATION of the "genetic code" of the "spike protein" after administration of the "modmRNA injections" cannot be ruled out 100% and thus the argument of the manufacturers that "mRNA" is not integrated into the "human genome" must be investigated and proven in any case for each new "mRNA injection" after the establishment of a "validated manufacturing process" within the framework of "genotoxicity studies" (GT). "How is it that the "gene-based COVID-19 injections" are called "vaccines" and have not been subjected to the stricter requirements, even though they meet the legal definition of the Community Code?

"Vaccines against infectious diseases" are excluded from the term "gene therapeutic" [= legal fiction (JF)], although they meet the definition of "gene therapeutic" (HB) ... However, if a "gene therapy drug is used as a vaccine against an infectious disease", the [mod]mRNA injection is **no longer a gene therapy by law** [Commission Directive No. 2009/120/EC], even though all other requirements (recombinant, biological) are met and it has the corresponding medical effects in the body.

In an interesting article (TH) from 2016 ... the example of an "mRNA vaccine to HPV" ... clarifies the - irrational - effects of this "legal fiction" (JF) if a gene therapy drug is no longer classified as such only because of the indication for which it is to be used: If an "mRNA-based vaccine" is used for the treatment or prevention of cervical cancer, it is to be classified as a "gene therapy" with the consequence of the APPLICATION of the strict regulatory requirements for gene therapeutics. If the same "mRNA-based vaccine" is used as a prevention against an INFECTION with HPV, it is a "vaccination" in the legal sense with the consequence of the APPLICATION of the regulations on (conventional) "vaccines", which place much lower requirements on the proof of quality and safety [thus: "reduction of production costs"] than the requirements that are placed on "gene therapeutics" in this regard ...

The "legal definition" as a "vaccine" applies, the "medical effects" are not taken into account ...

Former EU Commission President Jose Manuel Barroso, under whom the facilitation for "vaccines against infectious diseases" was introduced (Commission Directive No. 2009/120/EC) [after "The mRNA Therapeutics Stakeholder Group" vehemently campaigned for the exclusion of all mRNA therapeutics from the definition of a gene therapy in 2008] has been President of the Vaccine Alliance GAVI since 2021 ...

The question arises: Has the pandemic "opened people's eyes to innovation" or have people been blindly "misled" in trusting the term "vaccination" in the assumption that the risks of an mRNA "vaccination" are no greater than those of "conventional vaccinations"? ... Did people know that with the "gene-based COVID-19 injections, their 'software of life' [Tal Zak 2017] is hacked and rewritten for the affected cells, that the mRNA injections are "cellular gene therapy"? The answer is **NO** - the vast majority did not know, was never clarified. Not only was the discussion never held in public, but those who wanted to bring this issue into the public eye were systematically suppressed by so-called "fact checkers" (FCH) ..."

From: RA Dr. Brigitte Röhrig: "The Corona Conspiracy: How Billionaires, Politicians and Public Servants Knowingly and Willfully Erased Freedom and Health" Part I: "Vaccination is the Only Way Out of the Pandemic" – Preparation of the Legal Instruments and Orientation of the Population. 2. Pandemic Strategy in the EU and Germany - Determination of the Main Direction. 2.4. EU Strategy for COVID-19 Vaccines: "Adapting the EU Legal Framework to the Urgency and Making Use of Existing Regulatory Flexibility" p.53-55, Part II: The accelerated approval process. 1. "Vaccines" versus "gene therapeutics", 1.3 "Vaccines against infectious diseases are not gene therapeutics" p.190-192, 1.4 How the redefinition came about - (KR) Commission Directive No. 2009/120/EC, p.192-194, 1.5. Ugur Sahin and Özlem Türeci demand removal of RNA products from the definition, 1.6 Manufacturers concede classification as gene therapeutics p.194-197, 1.7 Demand for narrowing the term "gene therapy" to "human gene-modifying therapies" p.200-203, 2. Are gene-based COVID-19 injections vaccines?, 2.1 Definition of the term "vaccine" in the "European Pharmacopoeia", 2.2 The vaccine antigen as an active ingredient of a conventional vaccine, 2.3 Definition of the "vaccine" in § 4 para. 4 AMG, 3. Guidelines as a concrete design of the authorisation requirements, 3.1 Guidelines of the EMA, 3.2 International Conference (International Council) on the harmonisation of authorisation requirements for medicinal products for human use (ICH), 3.3 Scientific guidelines of the WHO - in particular the WHO guideline of 2005 on vaccines, 3.4 Regulatory considerations of the WHO on the "Evaluation of the quality, safety and efficacy of messenger RNA vaccines for the prevention of infectious diseases", 4. The conditional marketing authorisation, 4.1 Scope of the conditional marketing authorisation, 4.2 Basic requirements for the granting of a conditional marketing authorisation, 4.3 Special feature in times of crisis: Granting of the conditional marketing authorisation is permitted even if the quality and clinical data are incomplete, 4.4 Additional requirement of the existence of a serious disability or life-threatening disease, 4.5 What is a crisis situation? 4.6 Amendment of the definition of a pandemic by the WHO - A surprising finding, 4.7 Provisional nature of the conditional marketing authorisation, 5. Scope of the conditional marketing authorisation for COVID-19 injections and vaccines, 5.1 Existence of a crisis situation, 5.2 Existence of a medical care gap for the treatment of COVID-19 - ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine, 5.3 COVID-19 - A disease leading to severe disability or life-threatening? 5.4 Does a conditional marketing authorisation continue to apply if a mandatory authorisation requirement for conditional marketing authorisation does not apply? 6. Effects of the classification as a "vaccine": Significantly lower requirements for the marketing authorisation documents to be submitted, 6.1 Regulations on "gene therapeutics", requirements for pre- and clinical studies, 6.2 Regulations on "vaccines", requirements for pre- and clinical studies, 6.3 **Consequence of the classification of gene-based injections as "vaccines" for successful studies**, p.203-263, Rubicon 1st edition 9/2023

[Tal Zak 2017] „Dr. Tal Zaks, the chief medical officer at Moderna Inc., explained in a 2017 TED talk how the company's mRNA vaccine was designed to work. Over the last 30 years, he said, "we've been living this phenomenal digital scientific revolution, and I'm here today to tell you, that **we are actually hacking the software of life**, and that it's

changing the way we think about prevention and treatment of disease. ... A person's genetic makeup is, as Dr. Zak said, "the software of life." ... Aus: <https://worldviewtube.com/vault/article/modernas-top-scientist-we-are-actually-hacking-software-life> (By Leo Hohmann, 09 March, 2021)

(ARR) **THE "immoral" PHARMA TRICK with the RELATIVE RISK PERCENTAGE DATA (rel.%) - Relative Risk Reduction (RRR) in the Synthetic Gene-Based ModmRNA "Vaccines"** EUA PHEIC: Nucleoside-Modified mRNA from Synthetic Production: Nano-Lipid ModmRNA and DNA Vector "Vaccines"/Gene Injections/"Spiking" [EUA: Emergency Use Authorization PHEIC: Public Health Emergency of International Concern] <https://www.drloisdengg.at/bilder/pdf/PHARMA-Trick-WIRKSAMKEIT-RelativeProzentAngabe-mRNA-CORONA-Impfung-12012021.pdf> (12.01.2021)

(GT) Dr. Peter McCullough (b.1962, US-amerik. Kardiologe, Internist, Epidemiologe) erklärt in einer eidesstattlichen Erklärung als Sachverständiger beim US-Bezirksgericht von Florida (15.10.2021): "DECLARATION OF DR. PETER MCCULLOUGH, MD, MPH IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' PETITION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION" UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA "The COVID-19 genetic vaccines (Pfizer, Moderna, J&J) skipped testing for genotoxicity, mutagenicity, teratogenicity, and oncogenicity. In other words, it is unknown whether or not these products will change human genetic-material, cause birth defects, reduce fertility, or cause cancer"

(LN) Univ. Prof. Dr. Werner Doralt, Dr. Veronika Doralt: "Code of Austrian Law: Criminal Law Book 2021" edited by Univ. Prof. Dr. Susanne Reindl-Krauskopf, LexisNexis 14th edition, as of 1.3.2021

(JF) The "FICTION (fiction) is the legal proposition that treats a fact that does not exist in truth as existing" From: Gerhard Köbler: "Juristisches Wörterbuch - Für Studium und Ausbildung" VAHLEN JURA 18th ed. 2022

(MZ) a. Liguozhang et al. (25.05.2021): "Reverse-transcribed SARS-CoV-2 RNA can integrate into the genome of cultured human cells and can be expressed in patient-derived tissues" Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2021,

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33958444/>

b. Markus Aldén et al. (25.02.2022): "Intracellular Reverse Transcription of Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 In Vitro in Human Liver Cell Line" Curr Issues Mol Biol 2022, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35723296/>

(HB) Helene Banoun: "mRNA: Vaccine or Gene Therapy? The Safety Regulatory Issues" Int J Mol Sci.22.06.2023; 24(13):10514. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37445690/>

(TH) Thomas Hinz, Ugur Sahin, Özlem Türeci et al.: "The European Regulatory Environment of RNA-Based Vaccines" Methods Mol Biol.2017:1499:203-222. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27987152/>

(FCH) www.mdr.de/wissen/faktencheck/faktencheck-gentherapie-100.html (mdr Wissen 29.11.2022), www.berliner-zeitung.de/politik-gesellschaft/das-zulassungsdesaster-lobbyarbeit-und-rechtsbruch-im-fall-der-mrna-paerparate-li.314750 (12.02.2023)

"No examination as to whether the modmRNA "vaccine" injections "prevent transmission of COVID-19" and "confer sterile immunity". The lack of appropriate tests is confirmed. by Pfizer employee Janine Small at the hearing before the COVID-19 Committee of the European Parliament on October 10, 2022.

Aus: European Parliament Hearing, October 10, 2022: Youtube-Kanal des Europa Parlament Mitglieds Cristian Terhes (b.1978): "Janine Small" (President of Pfizer's International Developed Markets) ab Minute (1:01:30):

www.youtube.com/watch?v=5A2ZkV8pUWg&ab_channel=CristianTerhes

Die Weltwoche (10.10.2022): **"Pfizer representative shocks with statement: The Covid vaccine has not been tested for the transmissibility of the virus"** <https://weltwoche.ch/daily/pfizer-vertreterin-schockt-mit-aussage-der-covid-impfstoff-sei-nicht-auf-die-uebertragbarkeit-des-virus-getestet-worden/>

[JI] John P. A. Ioannidis - Prof. of Medicine, of Epidemiology and Population Health at Stanford University School of Medicine, Prof. by Courtesy of Biomedical Data Science at Stanford University School of Medicine, Prof. by Courtesy of Statistics at Stanford University School of Humanities and Sciences:

a.) „Why Most Published Research Findings Are False“ PLoS Med. August 2005; 2(8): e124.

<https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124>

b.) "Coronavirus disease 2019: The harms of exaggerated information and non-evidence-based measures" Eur J Clin Invest. 2020 Apr; 50(4): e13222. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/eci.13222>

c.) "Global perspective of COVID-19 epidemiology for a full-cycle pandemic" Eur J Clin Invest 2020 Dez; 50(12):e13423. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/eci.13423>

d.) "Reconciling estimates of global spread and infection fatality rates of COVID-19: An overview of systematic evaluations" ESCI 26.03.2021. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/eci.13554>

(Jl et al) John P. A. Ioannidis, Cathrine Axfors, Despina G. Contopoulos-Ioannidis (05.04.2020): "Population-level COVID-19 mortality risk for non-elderly individuals overall and for non-elderly individuals without underlying diseases in pandemic epicenters" www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.05.20054361v2

[MA] **"Summary of product characteristics"**: Prescribing information **Comirnaty® BioNTech/Pfizer** (as of 21.12.2020): under 6. PHARMACEUTICAL INFORMATION 6.1 List of excipients: **ALC-0315** u. **ALC-0159** www.impfkritik.de/upload/pdf/fachinfo/Corona_biontech_2020-12-21.pdf "ALC-0315 is an ionizable lipid that has been used to form lipid nanoparticles for the transport of RNA. ALC-0315 is one of the components of the BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2, along with ALC-0159, DSPC, and cholesterol. **This is a reagent grade product, for research use only. This is a reagent-grade product intended for research purposes only.**"

From: www.echelon-inc.com/product/alc-0315/ (downloaded: Sun 19.12.2021), now only via Wayback Machine: <https://web.archive.org/web/20210820012604/https://www.echelon-inc.com/product/alc-0315/>

RA Beate Bahner: "BREAKING NEWS: Children in DANGER of LIFE due to Biontech vaccination" (Sun 19.12.2021): https://t.me/rechtsanwaeltin_beate_bahner

Fachinformation **Spikevax® Moderna** (Booth 06.01.2021): www.impfkritik.de/upload/pdf/fachinfo/Corona_Moderna_2021-01-06.pdf
Prescribing information **Vaxzevria® AstraZeneca** (as of 29.01.2021): www.impfkritik.de/upload/pdf/fachinfo/Corona_AstraZeneca_2021-01-29.pdf

Fachinformation **COVID-19 Vaccine® Janssen** (Stand 11.03.2021): www.impfkritik.de/upload/pdf/fachinfo/Corona_Janssen_2021-03-11.pdf

List of Corona vaccines on the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut website: www.pei.de/DE/arzneimittel/impfstoffe/covid-19/covid-19-node.html

MFG press conference in Klagenfurt 17.12.2021, RA Mag. Alexander Todor-Kostic, from min 32:20 www.youtube.com/watch?v=YL9ZH0EbAVk&ab_channel=MFG-%C3%96sterreich

"In a US patent application "US10485884B2 - RNA Formulation for Immunotherapy" (2013/2019) <https://patents.google.com/patent/US10485884B2/en> [P holder: Ugur Sahin/ BioNTech SE, TRON] it is stated that a **distribution of cationic lipids** [such as "DOTAP, DOPE"; "the cationic (positively charged) liposomes and the anionic (negatively charged) nucleic acid can be used to form so-called **"lipoplexes"** in the lungs and liver, among others, and that this can result in damage to the lungs and liver ["there is a risk of an immune response against these organs"]. [Under "Example 2: Effect of buffers/ions on particle sizes and PI of RNA lipoplexes": The use of buffers, which are often required for pharmaceutical applications, and of ions can lead to "aggregation of lipoplexes", which makes them unsuitable for "parenteral use" in patients ..."] ... **it is clear from the patent text statements of the applicants that the use of cationic LNP in intramuscular injections can lead to "LNP aggregation" and therefore increased toxicity, especially when used together with "phosphate-buffered saline" (PBS) ["formation of calcium phosphate particles"] ... Why is a phosphate buffer (PBS) used when it is known that LNPs in PBS tend to form LNP aggregates/flocculations? Why is PBS still used together with NaCl in the concentrate for the preparation of an injection dispersion (solution)?" ... Both the conditions for the marketing authorisation and the recommendations make it clear that the development of manufacturing and/or quality control was not yet mature, was therefore deficient and that the quality – at least at the time of the grant of the conditional authorisation and for an indefinite period thereafter – was usually an absolute ground for exclusion and a 'no-go' for the grant of an authorisation, even conditional ...'**

From: RA Brigitte Röhrig: "The Corona Conspiracy" Part III The Approval Decision - What did those responsible know at that time and what did they not know yet? 3. Quality/composition of the gene-based COVID-19 injections, 3.4 What is special about the lipid nanoparticles? BIONTECH US PATENT "US10485884B2 – RNA FORMULATION FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY", P.317f, Rubicon 2023

[NKO] "The so-called **Nuremberg Code** is a central ethical guideline currently applied today for the preparation and execution of medical, psychological and other experiments on humans. Since its formulation in the pronouncement of the verdict in the **Nuremberg Doctors' Trial** (1946/47), it has been one of the medical-ethical principles in medical training (similar to the Geneva Pledge). It states that **in medical experiments on human beings, "the voluntary consent of the test subject is absolutely necessary. This means that the person concerned must be legally capable of giving his or her consent; that he must be able to exercise his or her judgment, uninfluenced by force, fraud, cunning, pressure, pretense or any other form of persuasion or coercion; that he must have sufficient knowledge and understanding of the details of the area in question to be able to make a reasonable and informed decision."** The Nuremberg Code was prompted by the crimes against humanity committed during the National Socialist era in the name of medical research, *in particular "criminal medical experiments" and forced sterilizations. The Nuremberg*

Code was prompted by the crimes against humanity committed during the National Socialist era in the name of medical research, in particular "criminal medical experiments" and forced sterilizations."

From: https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/N%C3%BCrnberger_Kodex

DGP - German Society for Nursing Science: <https://dg-pflegewissenschaft.de/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/NuernbergKodex.pdf>

"The [COVID-19] vaccine, which was supposed to protect against a new virus [SARS-CoV-2] and which had been developed within a few months, had no SIDE EFFECTS; was also harmless for children and pregnant women, promised experts, authorities and journalists. Health Minister Karl Lauterbach also assured several times that "the entire science of the world" (1) would see it that way. That the vaccination was harmless was also claimed by opinion leaders known from TV and the press, who could not have known this at all because they, like around 99% of the population, were laypeople in this field. As a rule, they knew even less about this new vaccine than the "swindlers" they despised, who rather tried to collect as much information as possible from different sources. In contrast to the "tin foil hats", most celebrities, journalists and other opinion leaders only parroted what politicians and the pharmaceutical industry presented to them. ... What the political media establishment had sold to the citizens as certainties, facts, evidence-based and harmless, lacked a scientific basis in many cases.

As a rule, it was political snap shots, assumptions and often lies that pursued completely different goals than containing a pandemic, keeping death rates low and protecting the health of citizens. Among other things, the pandemic was to be used to expand the *infrastructure for a control and surveillance state* and, for example, to increase the acceptance of such surveillance systems among the population with the digital vaccination certificate on the mobile phone, the "Green Pass" in Austria and the various Corona warning and corona tracing apps (Luca app, etc.). ... Crisis situations always mean social changes and upheavals, where many things are questioned and made possible. Governments, globalists, transhumanists [cyborgists], socialists and pharmaceutical companies wanted to use these framework conditions for their goals.

The fight against the epidemic was often only a pretext or a side aspect, the crisis management and the successes in containing the pandemic were correspondingly subterranean and the collateral damage was high... **because more and more data and facts are coming to the surface that refute the official corona narrative, the more the truths of the time are exposed as lies, the more the extent of the irresponsibility of the government and its accomplices becomes apparent and the more it becomes apparent how monstrous their actions often were.** Where was the media outcry when Karl Lauterbach (1) claimed that the corona vaccination had no side effects? Now, after many are suffering from the consequences of this "vaccination", *Der Spiegel* writes succinctly: "No effect without side effects" (2) ... **Only a small part of society could not and did not want to be afraid of the Corona Epidemic (CoP) erected for them, viewed the strict measures with skepticism, viewed the pandemic from the beginning for what it was: a thoroughly dangerous disease, but not one that would justify such disproportionate measures.** None of this could put them on the right course either, the daily horror reports, the many numbers of hospitalized, infected, 7-day incidences that had and still have little significance without appropriate comparisons and contextualization and were intended to keep citizens permanently in fear, the one-sided reports of intensive care physicians and the supposedly increasingly deadly variants of the coronavirus (Omicron, Delta, XBB.1.5n, etc.), none of this could put them on the right course. **In doing so, they endangered the FEAR STRATEGY, the CORONA NARRATIVE and the RESTRUCTURING OF SOCIETY - keyword "Great Reset" - which is why they were made the enemy and scapegoat... [the] endangerer and enemy of the state.** ... In doing so, the CORONA HARDLINERS could rely on the broad support of **the** people. [Moreover], the government incessantly assured its CORONA SUBJECTS that all the measures and restrictions were based on findings of "the" science. **During the PANDEMIC, the SCIENCES became an INSTITUTION that spoke with one voice, represented an opinion, and made binding judgments like a judge or priest.** Science was no longer a system of competing scientists and theories, but a UNIFIED COMPLEX. People who had never seen the inside of a lecture hall suddenly invoked "the" science with conviction and condemned those who clung to the "old" image of science as anti-science. As the last bastion (bulwark) of truth and knowledge in a largely atheistic world, **science, like many other institutions, facilities and control mechanisms, was misused for POLITICAL PURPOSES during the pandemic. And most scientists and doctors allowed themselves to be abused."**

From: Mag. Werner Reichel (b.1966, Austrian. Journalist, publicist): "Against forgetting: Corona is only over when the handcuffs click on the guilty" 400 quotes document lies, hatred and agitation during the Corona crisis for all time. Introduction, text excerpts from: p.10-16, Kopp Verlag 2nd edition XI/23 (22. VII/23)

(CoP) Popanz: artificially created "frightening figure", "horror image", "straw doll", "scarecrow"

(1) FOCUS online (07.04.2022): "Dentist rails against vaccination in the Bundestag - Lauterbach immediately reprimands her" (from min 01:32) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xTGL5iWb7ZY&ab_channel=FOCUSonline

(2) Spiegel (30.01.2023): Covid-19 immunization "What the 253 recognized vaccine injuries mean" <https://www.spiegel.de/wissenschaft/corona-was-die-253-erkannten-impfschaeden-bedeutet-a-6b72e388-9304-46f9-89e2-944f00393600>

(PVU) Kevin McKernan et al (11.04.2023): "Sequencing of bivalent Moderna and Pfizer mRNA vaccines reveals nanogram to microgram quantities of expression vector dsDNA per dose" OSF Preprints" <https://osf.io/b9t7m/>

[SK] "Gamechanger" Corona vaccination - Ex-Chancellor Sebastian Kurz. In: "CoV Strategy for Summer in Focus" (12.07.2021): <https://orf.at/stories/3220736/>

David J. Speichera, Jessica Rose, Kevin McKernan (26.04.2025): "Quantification of residual plasmid DNA and SV40 promoter-enhancer sequences in the modRNA COVID-19 vaccines from Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna from Ontario, Canada" - "Quantification of residual plasmid DNA and SV40 promoter-enhancer sequences in Pfizer/ BioNTech and Moderna modRNA COVID-19 vaccines from Ontario, Canada"

www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/08916934.2025.2551517

Cecilia Acuti Martellucci ... Lamberto Manzoli et al. (01.07.2025 "Pescara study"): "COVID-19 vaccination, all-cause mortality and hospitalizations due to cancer: 30-month cohort study in an Italian province"
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12381369/>

[OEAEK] Redaktion STATUS (Wed March 8, 2023): "**Even before there were vaccinations! Scandal! Medical Association ordered experts & doctors to recommend vaccination**"

From: <https://derstatus.at/corona/aerztekammer-diktierte-impf-empfehlung-466.html>

"The Medical Association, under the voted out red president Szekeres and the current medical association President Steinhart, has been working closely with the health ministers for years. And in doing so, she betrayed the interests of the doctors.

April 2020: The squabbling with politicians begins: In **April 2020**, Szekeres, Steinhart and Minister Anschober signed a document: A "**Memorandum of Understanding on COVID-19 Vaccinations**". At one point in time, more than half a year before the conditional approval of the vaccinations, the focus was on advertising campaigns by doctors for the vaccinations, the vaccination of medical staff and their family members, vaccinations in homes and schools, and fee agreements, among other things.

Memorandum of Understanding zu COVID-19-Impfungen
zwischen Österreichischer Ärztekammer und Gesundheitsministerium

Die beiden Systempartner vereinbaren zur Abwicklung und Durchführung der COVID-19-Impfungen:

Leistungen des Bundes und der sozialen Krankenversicherung

- Kostenlose Bereitstellung des Impfstoffes
- Kostenlose Bereitstellung von Spritzen und Nadeln und allenfalls Lösungsmittel
- Bereitstellung eines „eShops“, bei dem alle bezugsberechtigten Impfstellen oder beauftragte Institutionen in Kooperation mit Ärztekammern ihren (kurzfristigen) Bedarfe an Impfstoffen abrufen können,
- die sodann vom Arzneimittelgroßhandel entweder direkt oder zu einer bekanntgegebenen Apotheke oder zur Hausapotheke mitsamt den nötigen Spritzen, Nadeln und Lösungsmittel zugestellt werden
- generelle Bereitstellung von Informationen
 - bezüglich der Spezifikationen der einzelnen Impfstoffe
 - klare generelle Impfeempfehlungen über das Nationale Impfgremium (NIG) über die Eignung der verschiedenen Impfstoffe und Einsetzbarkeit insbesondere auch bei verschiedenen Hochrisikogruppen
 - Unterstützung bei der Fachinformation speziell für die Ärzteschaft
 - Unterstützung für die allgemein verständliche Information der Bevölkerung

Excerpt, Memorandum of Understanding of April 2020

Secretly, quietly and quietly, a real hammer was also negotiated:

It was agreed verbatim that "clear general vaccination recommendations will be provided via the National Vaccination Committee (NIG) on the suitability of the various vaccines and applicability in general, especially for various high-risk groups". **Explosive:** The Minister of Health and the Medical Association leadership sign a contract in which they set out over the head of the National Vaccination Committee (and long before the vaccinations are even available!) what the National Vaccination Committee will recommend.

Memorandum of Understanding

- Für alle mit der COVID-19-Impfung im Zusammenhang stehenden Leistungen in den Ordinalen wird gegenseitig eine jeweilige Abgeltung von 20 EUR für den ersten Stich, 10 EUR für den zweiten Stich.
- Für alle mit der COVID-19-Impfung im Zusammenhang stehenden Leistungen außerhalb der Ordinalen wird gegenseitig eine pauschale Abgeltung von 150 EUR pro Stunde (Tagesrate 150 EUR pro Tag) vereinbart.
- Die Abwicklung der Fallbearbeitung in den Ordinalen erfolgt über Anrechnungsspitzen bei der sozialen Krankenversicherung.
- Die Abwicklung der pauschalen Honoraranteile erfolgt ebenfalls über die soziale Krankenversicherung.
- Mandatsträgerinnen und Mandatsträger rechnen das Impfhonorar im Wege der sozialen Krankenversicherung ab.
- Für in Österreich nicht in der Krankenversicherung pflichtversicherte Personen wird ebenfalls eine Abrechnungsmöglichkeit über die soziale Krankenversicherung vereinbart.

Ministerium für Soziales, Gesundheit, Pflege und Konsumentenschutz

Österreichische Ärztekammer

Dr. Ulrike Prof. Dr. Susanne
Präsidentin

Dr. Michael Dr. Steinhart
Österreichischer Bundesrat
Vizepräsidentin

Auszug, Memorandum of Understanding
from April 2020

It was never about facts and objectivity:

Until now, the public was not aware of any document from which it is so clear that various "expert committees" and "commissions" apparently only served to kindly recommend what politicians and the medical association leadership wanted.

It was never about expertise, about advice, about objective science, about health – long before the start of vaccination propaganda, when vaccines didn't even exist (!), it was **only about one thing: vaccinate, vaccinate, vaccinate!** The "expert committees" and doctors only served as props. And it is obvious that the leadership of the

Medical Association was "bought" with this fee agreement. April 2020 thus became that drastic moment in the history of the Medical Association, in which its leadership served the soul of the medical profession to politics on a silver platter, so to speak.

The prosecution of doctors is decided: But that's not all:

In a "Supplement of 2 September 2021 to the *Memorandum of Understanding on COVID-19 vaccinations* between the Austrian Medical Association and the Ministry of Health, concluded in April 2020", further "promised services of the freelance medical profession and the Medical Association" were decided and signed:

"The Medical Association will carry out a media campaign as well as a campaign among the medical profession to increase the willingness to vaccinate the population. This also includes addressing the vaccination issue at other doctor's appointments (e.g. health check-ups) and will take stronger action against doctors who spread false information."

As is well known, what was "false information" was then left to the arbitrariness of the medical association leadership. This unfortunate contract formed the basis for the persecution of critical doctors, which continues to this day. The medical disciplinary law was in fact abused for intimidation and propaganda purposes. In this way, the medical association leadership finally turned against its paying members, the doctors, and thus sealed the persecution of doctors in the style of the Inquisition.



Supplementary Memorandum of Understanding dated 2.9.2021

The false claims of the President of the Medical Association:

What the then President of the [Medical Association](#) understood by "false information", he explained with almost disarming openness and brutality on [2.12.2021](#). Literally, he stated that "in principle there is no reason to advise patients against vaccination against COVID-19". And that "a possible violation of a professional duty in this context will be examined by the disciplinary bodies of the Austrian Medical Association and if such a breach is found, this will be sanctioned accordingly."

In plain language: The disciplinary commission does not act independently, but on behalf of the Medical Association. The disciplinary law has thus degenerated into a political instrument of oppression and persecution of the Medical Association leadership. Every doctor who advises against vaccination against COVID-19 had to and must expect prosecution by the Disciplinary Commission. Not a single committee of the Medical Association objected to this. Everyone cheerfully participated in the show trials against doctors.

The claims of the President of the Medical Association, Szekeres, are demonstrably false.

This is because in the [Compulsory Vaccination Act](#), which [was proposed in parliament](#) on 16.12.2021, paragraph [2 literally states](#) that "**persons who cannot be vaccinated without a concrete and serious danger to life**" are exempt from compulsory vaccination. So it was even stated in the law that vaccinations can basically make people sick or kill. A fact that doctors are confronted with every day and that presents the claim of the then President of the Medical Association for what it is: as medically false, harmful and dangerous nonsense. ...

325/ 2021 Rundschreiben



Ergoht per E-Mail an:

- alle Präsidenten und Vizepräsidenten der Landesärztekammern
- alle Mitglieder der ÖÄK-Vollversammlung
- alle Landesärztekammern
- den Obmann und die Stellvertreter der Bundeskurie angestellte Ärzte
- den Obmann und die Stellvertreter der Bundeskurie niedergelassene Ärzte
- den Obmann der Bundessektion Ärzte für Allgemeinmedizin und approbierte Ärzte
- den geschäftsführenden Obmann der Bundessektion Fachärzte sowie die drei Bundessprecher
- die Vorsitzenden der Ausbildungskommission und des Bildungsausschusses
- den Obmann der Bundessektion Turnusärzte
- die Geschäftsführer von Akademie, ÖQMED und Verlag

Wien, 02.12.2021
Mag.Sch/gh

Betrifft: Beratung von Patientinnen/Patienten iZshg mit der COVID-19-Schutzimpfung

Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,

die Österreichische Ärztekammer darf aus gegebenem Anlass auf Folgendes hinweisen:

Ärztinnen und Ärzte sind auf Grundlage des Ärztegesetzes 1998 im Rahmen der Einhaltung ihrer Berufspflichten ua verpflichtet, jeden von ihnen in ärztliche Beratung oder Behandlung übernommenen Gesunden und Kranken ohne Unterschied der Person gewissenhaft zu betreuen. Darüber hinaus ist nach Maßgabe der ärztlichen Wissenschaft und Erfahrung das Wohl der Kranken und der Schutz der Gesunden zu wahren.

Ärztinnen/Ärzte haben sich zudem jeder Information zu enthalten, wenn diese wissenschaftlichen Erkenntnissen oder medizinischen Erfahrungen widerspricht, oder nicht den Tatsachen entspricht.

Im Zusammenhang mit der gegenwärtigen Pandemie darf klargestellt werden, dass es derzeit aufgrund der vorliegenden Datenlage aus wissenschaftlicher Sicht und unter Hinweis auf diesbezügliche Empfehlungen des Nationalen Impfgremiums grundsätzlich keinen Grund gibt, Patientinnen/Patienten von einer Impfung gegen COVID-19 abzuraten.

Einzig medizinische und wissenschaftlich belegte Gründe, wie bspw eine Allergie gegen den Impfstoff, können gegen eine COVID-19-Schutzimpfung sprechen, wobei auch hier auf die Empfehlungen des Nationalen Impfgremiums hinzuweisen ist und eine Nutzen-Risiko-Abwägung zu erfolgen hat.

Abschließend darf darauf hingewiesen werden, dass eine allfällige Verletzung einer Berufspflicht in diesem Zusammenhang durch die Disziplinarorgane der Österreichischen Ärztekammer geprüft wird und bei Feststellung einer solchen dies entsprechend sanktioniert wird.

Mit freundlichen Grüßen

a.o. Univ.-Prof. Dr. Thomas Szekeres
Präsident



Werkburggasse 10-12, A-1010 Wien, Austria, Tel.: +43 (1) 51406-3000, Fax: 3042 Du, post@aeztekammer.at, www.aeztekammer.at
DVR: 0057746, IBAN: AT91 1812 0500 0112 0000, BIC: SWFBAT33, Österreichische Ärzte- und Apothekerkasse AG, Wien

Letter from the President of the Medical Association Szekeres dated 2.12.2021
to all organs of the Medical Association ..."

From: <https://derstatus.at/corona/aeztekammer-diktierte-impf-empfehlung-466.html>

Dr. Dagmar Belakowitsch, Mr. NAbg. Peter Wurm and other members of parliament: "Parliamentary question to the Minister of Health" (22.10.2021), regarding **the supplement of 2 September 2021 to the Memorandum of Understanding on Covid-19 vaccinations between the Austrian Medical Association and the Ministry of Health** (concluded April 2020) https://www.parlament.gv.at/dokument/XXVII/J/8369/fname_1006689.pdf

The lawyer **Dr. Claudio Deriu** writes in his book "*Compulsory Vaccination: The End of the Free Society*" On Austria's Vaccination Strategy under Consideration of European Coordination and Procurement Measures, p.92f, Frank & Frei 2022:

"In summary, the following [vaccination] strategy for Austria is apparent:

In SECRET, the VACCINATION OBLIGATION was already politically determined in APRIL 2020. This was communicated to the public

Obviously, this strategy was embedded in a European context. At the European level, **hundreds of millions of contracts were signed** with various vaccine manufacturers **for vaccines that had not even gone through the testing phases and were far from being approved.** Before the vaccines had been approved, **they had** already been produced **and** purchase guarantees **had been promised.**

How likely will it be that approval will be denied?

Are these really the prerequisites that lead to the production and approval of a safe vaccine? And all this in record time? How can this create trust?

It is also striking that the Austrian government concealed the topic of vaccination throughout the summer of 2020, even in the fall, only when approval was imminent did jubilation break out.

But what about the ENLIGHTENMENT at that time? Couldn't this time have been put to good use, to perhaps create TRUST? Or does this approach not rather fit into the SECRECY STRATEGY of the FEDERAL GOVERNMENT?

A year later, FEAR was increasingly spread that those who did not want to be vaccinated should try the disease (1). Fabian Gamper emphasizes that a "high degree of education by all social classes regarding the EFFECTIVENESS and possible RISKS of vaccinations" is necessary as part of a vaccination strategy based on VOLUNTARINESS (2). At the end of November 2021, the Austrian Public Health Portal reported that vaccination was voluntary (3), although the government had already announced the government's compulsory vaccination in the media will ..."

From: Dr. Claudio Deriu: "Compulsory vaccination: The end of the free society" On Austria's vaccination strategy taking into account European coordination and procurement measures, p.92f, Frank & Frei 1st edition 15 February 2022

(1) Eva Stanzl, Cathren Landsgesell: "If you don't want the vaccination, you can try the disease" Wiener Zeitung 26/27 June 2021 <https://www.wienerzeitung.at/nachrichten/wissen/mensch/2110031-Wer-die-Impfung-nicht-will-kann-ja-die-Krankheit-probieren.html>.

(2) Fabian Gamper: "Always controversial - the permissibility of compulsory vaccination" DRdA-infas 2021, p. 254.

(3) Public Health Portal Austria, Vaccinations against Covid-19 (Corona vaccination), 22.11.2021, <https://www.gesundheit.gv.at/leben/gesundheitsvorsorge/impfungen/covid-19>

[Art. 8 ECHR] "Art. 8 ECHR" - "Right to respect for private and family life"

Article 8 encompasses the protection of four rights – private and family life, the right to housing and the **protection of correspondence**. The protection of private life comprises several aspects, with *human autonomy* and a *right to self-determination* at the heart of the guarantee. It protects a *right to identity and personal development*, the possibility of establishing relationships with other people, as well as professional activities. Not only name, gender orientation, sex life and gender identification, but also **physical integrity** and **mental health** are essential elements in this context. Article 8 of the ECHR protects the integrity of family relationships, privacy and also extends to the decision on how to appear in the public sphere (protection of reputation and honour, right to a name, right to one's own image) as well as to individual aspects of external lifestyle (choice of clothing, with restrictions; professional activity). Article 8 of the ECHR also protects family life (existing family) and confers the right to conduct the family relationship undisturbed and without unjustified, in particular arbitrary interference. Furthermore, Article 8 of the ECHR protects the right to housing. Finally, it grants the protection of correspondence (secrecy of correspondence and telecommunications).

From: https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Europ%C3%A4ische_Menschenrechtskonvention#%C3%96sterreich

Art. 7 GRC: www.europarl.europa.eu/charter/pdf/text_de.pdf

"Art. 2 ECHR" - "Right to life"

(1) The right of every human being to life shall be protected by law. No one shall be intentionally killed, except by the execution of a death sentence imposed by a court for a crime for which the death penalty is provided for by law.

2. Killing shall not be considered a violation of this Article if it is caused by the use of force strictly necessary to (a) defend a person against unlawful violence; (b) lawfully arrest or prevent a person who has been lawfully deprived of his liberty from fleeing;

c) lawfully put down a riot or insurrection.

"In the order of the First Senate of 10 February 2022, the Federal Constitutional Court states that VACCINATION is associated with an ENCROACHMENT on the FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO LIFE: "In individual cases, serious vaccine side effects may also occur, which in extreme exceptional cases can also be fatal (see Safety Report of the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut of 7 February 2022 – Suspected cases of side effects and vaccination complications after vaccination to protect against COVID-19 from the start of the vaccination campaign on 27 December 2020 to 31 December 2021 – pp. 5, 8f, 28 et seq.)." (1 BvR 2649/21, paras. 1-23,

https://www.bundesverfassungsgericht.de/SharedDocs/Entscheidungen/DE/2022/02/rs20220210_1bvr264921.html

From: Gunnar Kaiser: "The Ethics of Vaccination: On the Recovery of Maturity" p.65, 118, Europa Verlag; 1st edition 14 March 2022

"Art. 3 ECHR" – "Prohibition of torture"

No one shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

European Convention on Human Rights: <https://dejure.org/gesetze/MRK/8.html>

DDr. Christoph Grabenwarter (b.1966), Dr. Katharina Pabel (b.1969): "European Convention on Human Rights – A Study Book" Part 3. The Guarantees of the ECHR. Chapter 1. Doctrines of Fundamental Rights for the Guarantees of the ECHR. Chapter 2. The Rights and Freedoms of the ECHR. Section 20. Fundamental Guarantees. Section 21. Freedom and Freedom of Movement. Section 22. I: Protection of Private and Family Life. pp. 133 – 292 – 362, Short Legal Textbooks, C.H.Beck, MANZ 7th edition 2021

"§ 16 ABGB" - I. From the Character of the Personality - "Innate Rights" Every human being has innate rights, which are already evident from reason, and is therefore to be regarded as a person. Slavery or serfdom, and the exercise of any power related to it, is not permitted in these countries.

From: <https://www.jusline.at/gesetz/abgb/paragraf/16>

Judge Mag.a Akiko Kropfitsch - The Initiative for Fundamental Rights and Freedoms - RAK Klagenfurt (12.12.2020):
"Statement on the Federal Act on Compulsory Vaccination against COVID-19"

<https://www.initiativegrundrechte.at/artikel/detail/impfpflicht-aus-grundrechtlicher-sicht-rechtswidriger-eingriff-in-die-koerperliche-integritaet>

[LZ] **§ 275 of the Criminal Code "Landzwang" (1)** Anyone who puts the population or a large group of persons in fear and unrest by threatening to attack life, health, physical integrity, freedom or property shall be punished with imprisonment of up to three years. **(2)** If the offence **has 1.** a serious or prolonged disturbance of public life, **2.** serious damage to economic life or **3.** if the death of a person or serious bodily injury (Section 84 (1)) results in the death of a large number of people, or if many people have been put in need as a result of the act, the offender shall be punished with imprisonment of six months to five years. **(3)** If, however, the act has resulted in the death of a large number of people, the offender shall be punished with imprisonment of one to ten years.

Dr. jur. Manfred Welan (1937-2024): "On fundamental rights and their development in Austria"

www.demokratiezentrum.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/welan_grundrechte.pdf

[UG] **"A Letter to the Unvaccinated"** Ontario Civil Liberties Association, on 02.08.2021

OCLA researcher Dr. Denis Rancourt and several fellow Canadian academics have written an open letter to support those who have chosen not to get the COVID-19 vaccine.

The group emphasizes the *voluntary nature of this medical treatment*, as well as the *need for informed consent* and an *individual risk-benefit assessment*. It rejects the pressure exerted by public health authorities, news and social media, and fellow citizens.

Control over our physical integrity may be the last frontier in the struggle to protect civil liberties.

Offener Brief an die Ungeimpften - <https://ocla.ca/a-letter-to-the-unvaccinated/>

You're not alone! As of July 28, 2021, 29% of Canadians have not yet received a COVID-19 vaccine, with another 14% having received a shot. In the U.S. and the European Union, less than half of the population is fully vaccinated, and even in Israel, the "world laboratory" according to Pfizer, a third of people are still completely unvaccinated.

Politicians and the media are taking a unified view, scapegoating the unvaccinated for the problems that have emerged after eighteen months of scaremongering and lockdowns. It's time to set the record straight.

It is perfectly reasonable and legitimate to say "no" to inadequately tested vaccines for which there is no reliable scientific evidence. You have the right to claim guardianship of your body and refuse medical treatment if you deem it appropriate. You have the right to say "no" to a violation of your dignity, integrity, and bodily autonomy. It is your body, and you have the right to decide. You have the right to fight for your children against their mass vaccination in school.

They rightly question whether free and informed consent is even possible under the current circumstances. Long-term effects are unknown. Transgenerational effects are unknown. Deregulation of natural immunity caused by vaccines is unknown. Potential harms are unknown because adverse event reporting is delayed, incomplete, and inconsistent across jurisdictions.

You are the target of the mainstream media, government social engineering campaigns, unfair rules and policies, collaborating employers, and the social media mob. **You are told that you are the problem now and that the world cannot return to normal if you do not get vaccinated.** You will be viciously scapegoated by propaganda and pressured by others around you. Remember: everything is fine with you.

You're falsely accused of being a factory for new SARS-CoV-2 variants, when in fact, according to leading scientists, your natural immune system generates immunity to multiple components of the virus. This strengthens your protection against a variety of virus variants and prevents them from spreading further to others.

They can legitimately demand independent, peer-reviewed studies that are not funded by multinational pharmaceutical companies. All peer-reviewed studies on short-term safety and efficacy were funded, organized, coordinated, and supported by these for-profit companies; and none of the study data were published or made available to researchers who do not work for these companies.

You are right to question the preliminary results of the vaccine trials. The claimed high values of **relative efficacy** are based on a small number of "infections" that are difficult to determine. Nor were the studies blind, in which the people who administered the injections admittedly knew or could infer whether they were injecting the experimental vaccine or the placebo. **This is not an acceptable scientific methodology for vaccine trials.**

You're right to call for a diversity of scientific opinions. **As in nature, we need a polyculture of information and its interpretations.** And we don't have that right now. The decision not to get vaccinated creates space for reason, transparency, and accountability. You're right to ask, **"What happens next when we relinquish control of our own bodies?"**

Don't be intimidated. They show resilience, integrity, and determination. They come together in their communities, make plans to help each other, and stand up for the scientific accountability and freedom of expression needed for society to thrive. We are among many who stand by your side.

Angela Durante, PhD, Denis Rancourt, PhD, Claus Rinner, PhD, Laurent Leduc, PhD, Donald Welsh, PhD, John Zwaagstra, PhD, Jan Vrbik, PhD
Valentina Capurri, PhD

Aus: OCLA - Ontario Civil Liberties Association (02.08.2021): "A Letter to the Unvaccinated" - "Offener Brief an die Ungeimpften" Aus: <https://ocla.ca/a-letter-to-the-unvaccinated/>

[1] Kevin McKernan, Yvonne Helbert, Liam T. Kane, Stephen McLaughlin (10.04.2023): "Sequencing of bivalent Moderna and Pfizer mRNA vaccines reveals nanogram to microgram quantities of expression vector dsDNA per dose" https://cdn-ceo-ca.s3.amazonaws.com/1i4tp3q-Sequencing%20of%20bivalent_4-11-23.pdf
<https://osf.io/preprints/osf/b9t7m>

David J. Speichera, Jessica Rose, Kevin McKernan (26.04.2025): "Quantification of residual plasmid DNA and SV40 promoter-enhancer sequences in Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna modRNA COVID-19 vaccines from Ontario, Canada" www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/08916934.2025.2551517

Dr. Jürgen O. Kirchner: "Tested & confirmed. DNA impurities in the mRNA vaccine. Comirnaty from BioNTech and what they tell us" BoD 2024

Dr. Jürgen O. Kirchner (09.09.2023): "Detection of DNA impurities in batches of BioNTech's COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (Comirnaty) that had been placed on the market in Germany: The significance of the risks of [foreign] DNA contamination in BioNTech's mRNA vaccine Comirnaty for vaccinated persons" https://transition-news.org/IMG/pdf/ohne_anlage_dna_kontamination_comirnaty_bei_in_deutschland_in_umlauf.pdf

Prof. Dr. Brigitte König, Dr. Jürgen O. Kirchner: "Methodological Considerations Regarding the Quantification of DNA Impurities in the COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Comirnaty®" Methods Protoc. 2024 May 8; 7(3):41. <https://www.mdpi.com/2409-9279/7/3/41>

Ulrike Kämmerer, Verena Schulz, Klaus Steger (12.03.2024): „RNA-basierte COVID-19-Injektionen von BioNTech enthalten große Mengen an DNA-Resten, darunter eine SV40-Promoter/Enhancer-Sequenz“ (BioNTech RNA-Based COVID-19 Injections Contain Large Amounts Of Residual DNA Including An SV40 Promoter/Enhancer Sequence“ https://publichealthpolicyjournal.com/biontech-rna-based-covid-19-injections-contain-large-amounts-of-residual-dna-including-an-sv40-promoter-enhancer-sequence/?utm_source=substack&utm_medium=email

The editor-in-chief of the journal "Science, Public Health Policy and the Law", James Lyons-Weiler, summarized the most important facts of the "Kämmerer Study" in a generally understandable way. (06.12.2024): "Rushed Science, Reckless Oversight: The Unseen Risks of RNA Injectables" A new study by Ulrike Kämmerer, Verena Schulz, and Klaus Steger replicates, validate and extends previous studies. ("Hasty Science, Reckless Supervision: The Unseen Risks of RNA Injections") <https://popularrationalism.substack.com/p/70c83b48-4123-46a7-91db-34d76ea73cf2>, www.mwgfd.org/2024/12/uebereilte-wissenschaft-nachlaessige-ueberwachung-die-unsichtbaren-risiken-von-rna-injektionspraeparaten/

Prof. Dr. Ulrike Kämmerer (18.12.2024): "FACTS ABOUT COMIRNATY - Mechanisms of action and potential for harm" (72 pages) <https://www.mwgfd.org/2024/12/fakten-zu-comirnaty-wirkmechanismen-und-schadpotential/>

[2] "Because the **CORONA VACCINATIONS** are exactly such "**not generally accepted treatment methods**", even if **POLITICS** and **MEDIA** praise the **VACCINATION** as the sole remedy back to freedom. However, back to freedom is not synonymous with "back to health": Because the people to be vaccinated are healthy! The **VACCINATION** is a purely preventive measure [so-called vaccination prophylaxis], which, however, has not yet been able to prove its actual effectiveness due to the lack of **LONG-TERM STUDIES**."

From: Attorney Beate Bahner (b.1966, German specialist lawyer for doctor, medical and health law): "Corona vaccination: What doctors and patients should definitely know" Foreword by Karina Reiss and Sucharit Bhakdi, 3. 3.2 Corona vaccinations are "uncharted territory", p.45f, Rubicon 1st edition 9/2021

[3] "**If the doctor has not pointed out to the patient that he cannot inform him about the effects of the drug ("gene vaccination") due to "lack of information", his consent is invalid and the administration of the vaccine was unlawful.**"

From: RA Carlos A. Gebauer and Prof. Dr. jur. Katrin Gierhake (27.07.2023): "Medical treatments with conditionally approved mRNA vaccine drugs" Neue Juristische Wochenschrift 2023.

REITSCHUSTER - Interview with lawyer Carlos A. Gebauer (06.08.2023): "Legal atomic bomb: Due to lack of clarification - were millions and millions of vaccinations illegal?" <https://rumble.com/v356btq-gebauer.html>

[RKI-P] RKI FILES UNREDACTED: The meeting minutes of the RKI crisis team from 2020-2023 <https://rki-transparenzbericht.de/>

Markus Schlöffel: "The RKI Protocols - Chronology of Health Terrorism - Insights into Criminal Investigation: The COVID-19 Crisis Team in Focus" COVID-19 Vaccines, p.57-85, "There will be several vaccines ..." (Entry 27.04.2020, p.60) Buch-Schmiede Berlin 10 December 2024

"Pretending to be scientific and misleading the population with regard to the Corona period"

www.youtube.com/watch?v=K8OUdsxY6gg&ab_channel=BeateBahner (29.11.2024)

www.beatebahner.de/lib.medien/Offener%20Brief%20an%20Ministerpraesident%20Hendrik%20Wuest%20NRW.pdf

THE CORONA PROTOCOLS: <https://corona-protokolle.net/>

The legal and technical justifications for the Corona Measures Ordinances in Austria

(2020-2023) <https://ggi-initiative.at/wp/rechtliche-und-fachliche-begrundungen/>

Roxana Bruno et al. (24.05.2021): "SARS-CoV-2 mass vaccination: Urgent questions on vaccine safety that demand answers from international health agencies, regulatory authorities, governments and vaccine developers"

www.authorea.com/doi/full/10.22541/au.162136772.22862058/v2

John Bart Classen (24.07.2021): "US COVID-19 Vaccines Proven to Cause More Harm Than Good Based on Pivotal Clinical Trial Data Analyzed Using the Proper Scientific Endpoint, 'All Cause Severe Morbidity'" - "US-American COVID-19 vaccines have been shown to do more harm than good, based on critical data from clinical trials based on the correct scientific endpoint

Gesamt-Morbidität' analysiert wurden" www.scivisionpub.com/pdfs/us-covid19-vaccines-proven-to-cause-more-harm-than-good-based-on-pivotal-clinical-trial-data-analyzed-using-the-proper-scientific--1811.pdf

Transparency test (July 2021): "Suspected Covid vaccine side effects many times higher than with polio and TB vaccination!" www.transparenztest.de/post/verdachtsfaelle-covid-impf-nebenwirkungen-vielfach-hoeher-als-bei-polio-und-tbc-impfung

Transparency test (July 2021): "EMA database: 166,790 of the 665,525 suspected cases of Covid vaccine side effects are severe!" www.transparenztest.de/post/ema-datenbank-166-790-der-665-525-verdachtsfaelle-von-covid-impf-nebenwirkungen-sind-schwer

Transparency test (July 2021): "EMA database: Record-breaking suspected cases of Covid vaccine side effects?" www.transparenztest.de/post/ema-datenbank-rekordverdaechtige-verdachtsfaelle-von-covid-impf-nebenwirkungen

Maximilian Gahr et al. (April 2017): „Underreporting of adverse drug reactions: Results from a survey among physicians“ – „Untererfassung von Arzneimittelnebenwirkungen: Ergebnisse einer Umfrage unter Ärzten“ Eur Psychiatr 2020 www.researchgate.net/publication/318063966_Underreporting_of_adverse_drug_reactions_Results_from_a_survey_among_physicians

Dr. Andreas Hoppe: European database of reported suspected cases of drug side effects (as of 09.09.2022):

www.impfnebenwirkungen.net/report.pdf

RKI-SITREP (24.11.2021): "Emergency Room Situation Report - Period 01.01.2019 to 21.11.2021"

https://edoc.rki.de/bitstream/handle/176904/9017/SitRep_de_2021-11-24.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Dr. Peter F. Mayer (11.08.2021): "Investigation of emergency calls in Israel shows sharply increased number of heart attacks during vaccination campaign" "New results from Israel through the evaluation of medical emergency calls [Prof. Retzef

Levi M.Sc, School of Management at MIT, UNI in Boston, Ph.D. in Performance Research Cornell UNI] show a 25% increase in cardiac arrests and heart attacks in the 16-29 age group for 2021 compared to previous years, and an 83.6% increase in heart attacks among women 20-29." <https://tkp.at/2021/08/11/untersuchung-von-notrufen-in-israel-zeigt-stark-erhoehte-zahl-von-herzinfarkten-waehrend-impfkampagne/>

[4] Uwe Walter, Erik Volmer, Matthias Wittstock, Alexander Storch, Marc-André Weber, Annette Großmann:

"Cerebral Vein and Sinus Thrombosis after COVID-19 Vaccination" Radiologist. 2021; 61(10): 923–932.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8320717/>

Pathology Conference - Cause of death after COVID-19 vaccination (20.09.2021), Reutlingen, Institute Prof. Dr.

Arne Burkhardt [1944-2023]: "CORONA VACCINATIONS - Pandora's box? The "gift-rich" therapy"

https://pathologie-konferenz.de/Tod_nach_COVID-19-Impfung www.pathologie-konferenz.de/

[5] **GMO – Genetically Modified Organisms – GMO – Definition AGES**

Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety GmbH: Genetic engineering and GMOs

"Genetically modified organisms (GMOs) are organisms whose genetic material is specifically modified with the help of genetic engineering methods. In this process, gene segments are transferred in order to give plants [around human cells with "gene-based Corona vaccinations"], for example, certain properties that are impossible or very difficult to achieve with conventional breeding [with "classic vaccinations"]." Genetically modified plants are also referred to as genetically modified events (resulting from the transformation event in the course of production) or genetically modified lines (resulting from the crossing of plant parent lines).

Novel genomic techniques (NGT) – also known as "new breeding techniques" – are genetic engineering techniques that have been used since 2001. These include genome editing techniques (e.g. CRISPR/Cas), which enable targeted modification of the genetic material. In the EU, plants produced by genome editing are subject to genetic engineering legislation.

In Austria, the cultivation of genetically modified plants is prohibited. However, the area under cultivation is increasing every year worldwide. We therefore carry out monitoring of food, feed and seeds. Furthermore, on behalf of the Federal Ministry of Social Affairs, Health, Care and Consumer Protection (BMSGPK) and the Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Regions and Water Management (BML), we prepare expert reports on seed, crop cultivation and analytical issues as well as on risks to health, the environment, animal nutrition, biodiversity and humans.

At the national level, we provide technical advice to our two owner ministries and contribute the expertise of the GMO Task Force to parliamentary questions to the Codex Commission of the Austrian Food Code (Codex Alimentarius Austriacus, ÖLMB). We participate in research programmes and publish scientific statements in the field of green genetic engineering (genetic engineering of plants).

At the European level, our scientists help to improve the quality of applications for authorisation of genetically modified varieties. They check the quality of the authorisation dossiers and contribute to the work of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) with their scientific risk assessments – and thus to food safety at the European level.

From: <https://www.ages.at/en/plant/genetic-engineering/information-on-genetically-modified-organisms> (as of 01.09.2024) [Supplements]

Dr. med. vet. Helmut Sterz (b.1946, specialist veterinarian for pharmacology & toxicology): **"The vaccination mafia: Pfizer's former chief toxicologist proves how toxins were illegally sold to us as a cure for Covid-19"** Forewords: "Going over dead bodies for profits" Wolfgang Wodarg, "One of the most important books of our time" Sucharit Bhakdi, "All vaccinated, all protected?" Christian Perronne, "Ruthless Reappraisal" Stefan W. Hockertz, "Toxic Humanity Experiment" Philipp Kruse, Afterword: Jens Wernicke (Publisher) Rubikon-Verlag 1st edition 1.XII 2025

Dr. Michael Nehls (28.11.2025): Pfizer Chief Toxicologist breaks his silence: "The studies were deliberately manipulated!" In this explosive conversation, I talk to Dr. Helmut Sterz, one of Germany's most renowned toxicologists, about his new book and the shocking findings from preclinical research on mRNA injections. Who is Dr. Helmut Sterz? + PhD in Veterinary Medicine/ Virology (1971) + 14 years Head of Reproductive Toxicology at Boehringer Mannheim + Long-time Toxicologist at Hoffmann-La Roche + Chief Toxicologist at Pfizer (2001-2007)

www.youtube.com/watch?v=T3-3KJCPWYQ

AMORTASAWI (30.10.2025): Science "The Vaccination Mafia - Book Review" Pfizer's former chief toxicologist proves how we were illegally sold toxins as a cure for Covid-19. "Helmut Sterz vividly describes how the population was enticed by the drug manufacturers, supported by health authorities and politicians, to participate in a large-scale, inhumane experiment." <https://tkp.at/2025/10/30/die-impf-mafia-buchbesprechung/>

[6] **Corona news on Thursday** [Thu 11.03.2021]. **After concerns about side effects – Germany continues to vaccinate with AstraZeneca.** "Denmark, among others, is suspending vaccinations with AstraZeneca out of concern about side effects. Germany sees no reason to do so. And: Johnson & Johnson wants to deliver up to three billion doses in 2022. The overview on March 11."

<https://www.spiegel.de/wissenschaft/medizin/corona-news-am-donnerstag-die-wichtigsten-entwicklungen-zu-sars-cov-2-und-covid-19-a-f30aff45-44c3-44c6-b8dc-5f9182d5c8e2>

Daniela Wahl, Karl Oberascher (15.03.21): Corona news **"Austria continues to vaccinate with Astra Zeneca for the time being"**

<https://kurier.at/chronik/oesterreich/auch-niederlande-setzen-impfungen-von-astra-zeneca-aus/401218248>

DER STANDARD - David Krutzler (May 9, 2021): Vaccination strategy **"Almost no more first vaccinations with Astra Zeneca"**

"After supply cuts, Astra Zeneca is almost only used for second vaccinations. Biontech/Pfizer is already delivering more than 300,000 doses per week. One million people will soon be fully immunized."

<https://www.derstandard.at/story/2000126512890/fast-keine-erstimpfungen-mit-astra-zeneca-mehr>

Official offence: An official offence is when a criminal offence is prosecuted ex officio by the public prosecutor's office. Examples: murder, robbery, theft, assault, persistent persecution, etc.

See: www.oesterreich.gv.at/lexicon/O/Seite.991418..html

The principle of official investigation (principle of inquiry, inquisition maxim, duty of official investigation) states that a court or authority is obliged to investigate the facts on which a decision is to be based ex officio, i.e. without an application by a person concerned or independently of it. www.rechtheasy.at/wiki/amtsermittlungsgrundsatz/

Quelle: Travel Med Center


Mis-/Des-/Malinformation (die großen Lügen)

- Die Impfung wird **DER Gamechanger**.
- Die Impfung bleibt im **Muskel**.
- **2 Dosen** Impfstoff reichen.
- Die mRNA wird **schnell abgebaut**.
- Der **Grenzwert für DNA** wurde nur geringfügig überschritten.
- Es handelt sich um den **meistgetesteten Impfstoff** überhaupt (wurde ja hunderte Millionen Mal angewandt).
- **Der Impfstoff ist sicher und wirkt.**
- **Schütze Dich und schütze andere.**
- Der Impfstoff verhindert zumindest schwere Verläufe.
- Die Impfung hat **unzählige Menschenleben gerettet**.

TMC LEONDING
leonding@travelmed.at

medEXCITE
AKADEMIE

Quelle: Wissenschaftliche Initiative Gesundheit für Österreich



Li: ServusTV On (12.04.2025): "The Corona perpetrators!" Screenshot (edited, on Sun 13.04.2025)

From: www.youtube.com/watch?v=AHw39UQ7nWs&ab_channel=ServusTVOn (Min 08:18)

Travel Med Center: DDr. Martin Haditsch, A-4060 Leonding, Hochstrasse 6a

<https://www.travelmed.at/>

Re: ServusTV On (12.04.2025): "The Corona perpetrators!" Screenshot (edited, on Sun 13.04.2025)

From: www.youtube.com/watch?v=AHw39UQ7nWs&ab_channel=ServusTVOn (Min 09:03)

Travel Med Center: DDr. Martin Haditsch, A-4060 Leonding, Hochstrasse 6a

<https://www.travelmed.at/>